arXiv:1512.04589v2 [math.FA] 7 Jan 2016

C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups and application to Markov transition semigroups*

Salvatore Federico [a] Mauro Rosestolato [b]

January 8, 2016

Abstract

We present and apply a theory of one parameter C_0-semigroups of linear operators in locally convex spaces. Replacing the notion of equicontinuity considered by the literature with the weaker notion of sequential equicontinuity, we prove the basic results of the classical theory of C_0-equicontinuous semigroups: we show that the semigroup is uniquely identified by its generator and we provide a generation theorem in the spirit of the celebrated Hille-Yosida theorem. Then, we particularize the theory in some functional spaces and identify two locally convex topologies that allow to gather under a unified framework various notions C_0-semigroup introduced by some authors to deal with Markov transition semigroup. Finally, we apply the results to transition semigroups associated to stochastic differential equations.

Keywords: One parameter semigroup, sequential equicontinuity, transition semigroup.

AMS 2010 subject classification: 46N30, 47D06, 47D07, 60J35.

1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to present and apply a notion of one parameter strongly continuous (C_0) semigroups of linear operators in locally convex spaces based on the notion of sequential equicontinuity and following the spirit and the methods of the classical theory in Banach spaces.

The theory of C_0-semigroups was first stated in Banach spaces (a widespread presentation can be found in several monographs, e.g. [9, 12, 21]). The theory was extended to locally convex spaces by introducing the notions of C_0-equicontinuous semigroup ([26, Ch. IX]), C_0-quasi-equicontinuous semigroup ([4]), C_0-locally equicontinuous semigroup ([7, 15]), weakly integrable semigroup ([13, 14]). A mixed approach is the one followed by [16], which introduces the notion of bi-continuous semigroup: in a framework of Banach spaces, semigroups that are strongly continuous with respect to a weaker locally convex topology are considered.

In this paper we deal with semigroups of linear operators in locally convex spaces that are only *sequentially* continuous. The idea is due to the following key observation: the theory of C_0-(locally) equicontinuous semigroups can be developed, with appropriate adjustments, to semigroups of operators which are only C_0-(locally) *sequentially* equicontinuous (in the sense specified by Definition 3.1). On the other hand, as we will show by examples, the passage from equicontinuity to sequential equicontinuity is motivated and fruitful: as discussed in Remark 3.13 and shown

*This research has been partially supported by the INdAM-GNAMPA project "PDE correlate a sistemi stocastici con ritardo". The authors are sincerely grateful to Fausto Gozzi for valuable discussions.

[a] Università di Firenze, Dipartimento per l'Economia e l'Impresa (Italy). Email: salvatore.federico@unifi.it.

[b] LUISS University, Rome (Italy). Email: mrosestolato@luiss.it. The author acknowledges the financial support of DAAD (Deutscher Akademischer Austausch Dienst) for his visit at the University of Wuppertal.

by Example 5.5, in concrete applications, replacing equicontinuity with sequential equicontinuity is convenient or even, in some cases, necessary.

The main motivation that led us to consider sequential continuity is that it allows a convenient treatment of Markov transition semigroups. The employment of Markov transition semigroups to the study of partial differential equations through the use of stochastic representation formulas is the subject of a wide mathematical literature (here we only refer to [3] in finite and infinite dimension and to [6] in infinite dimension). Also, the regularizing properties of such semigroups is the core of a regularity theory for second order PDEs (see, e.g., [18]). Unfortunately, the framework of C_0-semigroup in Banach spaces is not always appropriate to treat such semigroups. Indeed, on Banach spaces of functions not vanishing at infinity, the C_0-property fails already in basic cases, such as the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup, when considering it in the space of bounded uniformly continuous real-valued functions $(UC_b(\mathbb{R}), |\cdot|_\infty)$ (see, e.g., [2, Ex. 6.1] for a counterexample, or [5, Lemma 3.2], which implies this semigroup is strongly continuous in $(UC_b(\mathbb{R}), |\cdot|_\infty)$ if and only if the drift of the associated stochastic differential equation vanishes). On the other hand, finding a locally convex topology on these spaces to frame Markov transition semigroups within the theory of C_0-locally equicontinuous semigroups is not an easy task (see also the considerations of Remark 3.13). In the case of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck semigroup, such approach is adopted by [10]. Some authors have bypassed these difficulties by introducing some (more or less *ad hoc*) notions, relying on some sequential continuity properties, to treat such semigroups (weakly continuous semigroups [2], π-continuous semigroups [22], bi-continuous semigroups [16]). The theory developed in our paper allows to gather all the aforementioned notions under a unified framework.

We end the introduction by describing in detail the contents of the paper. Section 2 contains notations that will hold throughout the paper.

In Section 3 we first provide and study the notions of sequential continuity of linear operators and sequential equicontinuity of families of linear operators on locally convex spaces. Then, we give the definition of C_0-sequentially (locally) equicontinuous semigroup in locally convex spaces. Next, we define the generator of the semigroup and the resolvent of the generator. In order to guarantee the existence of the resolvent, the theory is developed under Assumption 3.16, requiring the existence of the Laplace transform (3.10) as Riemann integral (see Remark 3.17). This assumption is immediately verified if the underlying space X is sequentially complete. Otherwise, the Laplace transform always exists in the (sequential) completion of X and then one should check that it lies in X, as we do in Proposition 4.19. The properties of generator and resolvent are stated through a series of results: their synthesis is represented by Theorem 3.25, stating that the semigroup is uniquely identified by its generator, and by Theorem 3.27, stating that the resolvent coincides with the Laplace transform. Then we provide a generation theorem (Theorem 3.38), characterizing, in the same spirit of the Hille-Yosida theorem, the linear operators generating C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups. Afterwards, we show that the notion of bi-continuous semigroups can be seen as a specification of ours (Proposition 3.43). Finally, we provide some examples which illustrate our notion in relation to the others.

Section 4 implements the theory of Section 3 in spaces of bounded Borel functions, continuous and bounded functions, or uniformly continuous and bounded functions defined on a metric space. The main aim of this section is to find and study appropriate locally convex topologies in these functional spaces allowing a comparison between our notion with the aforementioned other ones. We identify them in two topologies belonging to a class of locally convex topologies defined through the family of seminorms (4.1). We study the relation between them and the topology induced by the uniform norm (Proposition 4.6). Then, we study these topological spaces through a series of results ending with Proposition 4.15 and we characterize their topological dual in Proposition 4.16. We end the section with the desired comparison: in Subsections 4.2, 4.3, and 4.4, we

show that the notions developed in [2], [22], and [10] to treat Markov transition semigroups can be reintepreted in our framework.

Section 5 applies the results of Section 4 to transition semigroups. This is done, in Subsection 5.1, in the space of bounded continuous functions endowed with the topology $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ defined in (4.7). Then, in Subsection 5.3, we provide an extension to weighted spaces of continuous functions, not necessarily bounded. Finally, in Subsection 5.3, we treat the case of Markov transition semigroups associated to stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces. Our purpose for future research is to exploit these latter results as a starting point for studying semilinear elliptic partial differential equations in infinite dimensional spaces and their application to optimal control problems.

2 Notation

(N1) X, Y denote Hausdorff topological vector spaces. Starting from Subsection 3.2, Assumption 3.3 will hold and X, Y will be Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces.

(N2) The topological dual of a topological vector space X is denoted by X^*.

(N3) If X is a vector space and Γ is a vector space of linear functionals on X separating points in X, we denote by $\sigma(X,\Gamma)$ the weakest locally convex topology on X making continuous the elements of Γ.

(N4) The weak topology on the topological vector space X is denoted by τ_w, that is $\tau_w := \sigma(X, X^*)$.

(N5) If X and Y are topological vector spaces, the space of continuous operators from X into Y is denoted by $L(X,Y)$, and the space of sequentially continuous operators from X into Y (see Definition 3.1) is denoted by $\mathscr{L}_0(X,Y)$. We also denote $L(X) := L(X,X)$ and $\mathscr{L}_0(X) := \mathscr{L}_0(X,X)$.

(N6) Given a locally convex topological vector space X, the symbol $\mathscr{P}_X$ denotes a family of seminorm on X inducing the locally convex topology.

(N7) E denotes a metric space; $\mathscr{E} := \mathscr{B}(E)$ denotes the Borel σ-algebra of subsets of E .

(N8) Given the metric space E, $\mathbf{ba}(E)$ denotes the space of finitely additive signed measures with bounded total variation on $\mathscr{E}$, $\mathbf{ca}(E)$ denotes the subspace of $\mathbf{ba}(E)$ of countably additive finite measure, and $\mathbf{ca}^+(E)$ denotes the subspace of $\mathbf{ca}(E)$ of positive countably additive finite measures.

(N9) Given the metric space E, we denote by $B(x,r)$ the open ball centered at $x \in E$ and with radius r and by $B(x,r]$ the closed ball centered at x and with radius r.

(N10) The common symbol $\mathscr{S}(E)$ denotes indifferently one of the spaces $B_b(E)$, $C_b(E)$, $UC_b(E)$, that is, respectively, the space of real-valued *bounded Borel* / *continuous and bounded* / *uniformly continuous and bounded* functions defined on E.

(N11) On $\mathscr{S}(E)$, we consider the sup-norm $|f|_\infty := \sup_{x\in E} |f(x)|$, which makes it a Banach space. The topology on $\mathscr{S}(E)$ induced by such norm is denoted by τ_∞.

(N12) On $\mathscr{S}(E)$, the symbol $\tau_{\mathscr{C}}$ denotes the topology of the uniform convergence on compact sets.

(N13) By $\mathscr{S}(E)^*_\infty$ we denote the topological dual of $(\mathscr{S}(E), |\cdot|_\infty)$ and by $|\cdot|_{\mathscr{S}(E)^*_\infty}$ the operator norm in $\mathscr{S}(E)^*_\infty$.

We make use of the conventions $\inf\emptyset = +\infty$, $\sup\emptyset = -\infty$, $1/\infty = 0$.

3 C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups

In this section, we introduce and investigate the notion of C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups on locally convex topological vector spaces.

3.1 Sequential continuity and equicontinuity

We recall the notion of sequential continuity for functions and define the notion of sequential equicontinuity for families of functions on topological spaces.

Definition 3.1. *Let X, Y be Hausdorff topological spaces.*

(i) A function $f: X \to Y$ is said to be sequentially continuous *if, for every sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to x in X, we have $f(x_n) \to f(x)$ in Y.*

(ii) If Y is a vector space, a family of functions $\mathscr{F} = \{f_\iota: X \to Y\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ is said to be sequentially equicontinuous *if for every $x \in X$, for every sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to x in X and for every neighborhood U of 0 in Y, there exists $\overline{n} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f_\iota(x_n) \in f_\iota(x) + U$ for every $\iota \in \mathscr{I}$ and $n \geq \overline{n}$.*

Remark 3.2. *Let E be a metric space. If $g: X \to Y$ is sequentially continuous and $f: E \to X$ is continuous, then $g \circ f: E \to Y$ is continuous. It is sufficient to recall that continuity for a function defined on a metric space is equivalent to sequential continuity.*

If Y is a locally convex topological vector space, then Definition 3.1*(ii)* is equivalent to

$$\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X,\ x_n \to x \text{ in } X \implies \lim_{n\to+\infty} \sup_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}} q(f_\iota(x_n) - f_\iota(x)) = 0, \qquad \forall q \in \mathscr{P}_Y, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\mathscr{P}_Y$ is a set of seminorms inducing the topology on Y. The characterization of sequential continuity (3.1) will be very often used throughout the paper.

3.2 The space of sequentially continuous linear operators

Starting from this subsection, we make the following

Assumption 3.3. *X and Y are Hausdorff locally convex topological vector spaces, and $\mathscr{P}_X$, $\mathscr{P}_Y$ denote families of seminorms inducing the topology on X, Y, respectively.*

Remark 3.4. *We recall that a subset $B \subset X$ is bounded if and only if $\sup_{x\in B} p(x) < +\infty$ for every $p \in \mathscr{P}_X$ and that Cauchy (and, therefore, also convergent) sequences are bounded in X.*

We define the vector space

$$\mathscr{L}_0(X,Y) := \{F: X \to Y \text{ s.t. } F \text{ is linear and sequentially continuous}\}.$$

We will use $\mathscr{L}_0(X)$ to denote the space $\mathscr{L}_0(X,X)$. Clearly, we have the inclusion

$$L(X,Y) \subset \mathscr{L}_0(X,Y). \tag{3.2}$$

We recall that a linear operator $F: X \to Y$ is a called *bounded* if $F(B)$ is bounded in Y for each bounded subset $B \subset X$. As well known (see [23, Th. 1.32, p. 24])

$$F \in L(X,Y) \implies F \text{ is bounded.} \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, if X is bornological (see [19, p. 95, Definition 4.1]), then, by [19, Ch. 4, Prop. 4.12], also the converse holds true, that is

$$X \text{ bornological, } F: X \to Y \text{ linear and bounded} \implies F \in L(X,Y). \tag{3.4}$$

Proposition 3.5. *Let $F \in \mathscr{L}_0(X,Y)$. Then*

(i) F is a bounded operator;

(ii) F maps Cauchy sequences into Cauchy sequences.

Proof. *(i)* See [19, Ch. 4, Prop. 4.12].

(ii) Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in X. In order to prove that $\{Fx_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y, we need to prove that, for every $q\in\mathscr{P}_Y$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\overline{n}$ such that $n,m\geq\overline{n}$ implies $q(F(x_m-x_n))\leq\varepsilon$. Fix $q\in\mathscr{P}_Y$ and $\varepsilon>0$. As, by Remark 3.4, $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in X, by *(i)* the sequence $\{Fx_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in Y. Then, for every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we can choose $k_n\in\mathbb{N}$, with $k_n\geq n$, such that

$$q(F(x_{k_n}-x_n))+2^{-n}\geq\sup_{k\geq n}q(F(x_k-x_n)). \tag{3.5}$$

Define $z_n:=x_{k_n}-x_n$, for $n\in\mathbb{N}$. As $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X, we have $z_n\to 0$ as $n\to+\infty$. By sequential continuity of F, also $Fz_n\to 0$. Then (3.5) entails, for every $\overline{n}\in\mathbb{N}$ and every $n,m\geq\overline{n}$,

$$q(F(x_m-x_n))\leq q(F(x_m-x_{\overline{n}}))+q(F(x_n-x_{\overline{n}}))\leq 2\sup_{k\geq\overline{n}}q(F(x_k-x_{\overline{n}}))\leq 2^{1-\overline{n}}+2q(Fz_{\overline{n}}).$$

Passing to the limit $\overline{n}\to+\infty$, we conclude that $\{Fx_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y. ■

Remark 3.6. *We notice that the fact that F is a bounded linear operator from X into Y does not guarantee, in general, that it belongs to the space $\mathscr{L}_0(X,Y)$. Indeed, the bounded sets in the weak topology τ_w of any Banach space X are exactly the originally bounded sets (see Lemma 3.41; actually this is true for locally convex spaces: see [23, p. 70, Theorem 3.18]). Then, if τ denotes the norm-topology in X, the identity $\mathbf{id}\colon(X,\tau_w)\to(X,\tau)$ is bounded. Nevertheless, this identity is in general not sequentially continuous (any infinite dimensional Hilbert space provides an immediate counterexample).*

Corollary 3.7. *If X is bornological, then*

$$\mathscr{L}_0(X,Y)=\mathscr{L}_0(X_w,Y_w)=L(X,Y)=L(X_w,Y_w),$$

where X_w,Y_w denote, respectively, the spaces X,Y endowed with their weak topologies.

Proof. Since X (*resp.* Y) is locally convex, by [23, p. 70, Theorem 3.18], the weakly bounded sets of X (*resp.* Y) are exactly the originally bounded sets in X (*resp.* in Y). Hence, (3.3) and (3.4) yield $L(X_w,Y_w)\subset L(X,Y)$. On the other hand, the opposite inclusion holds true for every X,Y vector topological spaces. So, we have proved that $L(X_w,Y_w)=L(X,Y)$.

Now, by Proposition 3.5*(i)* and by (3.4), we have $\mathscr{L}_0(X,Y)\subset L(X,Y)$. The opposite inclusion is obvious. So, $\mathscr{L}_0(X,Y)=L(X,Y)$.

Finally, considering that $\mathscr{L}_0(X_w,Y_w)\supset L(X_w,Y_w)$, in order to conclude we need to show that $\mathscr{L}_0(X_w,Y_w)\subset L(X,Y)$. Recalling that the weakly bounded sets of X (*resp.* Y) are exactly the originally bounded sets in X (*resp.* in Y), the latter follows from (3.4) and Proposition 3.5*(i)*, as X is bornological. ■

Let $\mathbf{B}$ be the set of all bounded subsets of X. We introduce on $\mathscr{L}_0(X,Y)$ a locally convex topology as follows. By Proposition 3.5*(i)*

$$\rho_{q,D}(F):=\sup_{x\in D}q(Fx) \tag{3.6}$$

is finite for all $F\in\mathscr{L}_0(X,Y)$, $D\in\mathbf{B}$, and $q\in\mathscr{P}_Y$. Given $D\in\mathbf{B}$ and $q\in\mathscr{P}_Y$, (3.6) defines a seminorm in the space $\mathscr{L}_0(X,Y)$. We denote by $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X,Y)$ the space $\mathscr{L}_0(X,Y)$ endowed with the locally convex vector topology τ_b induced by the family of seminorms $\{\rho_{q,D}\}_{q\in\mathscr{P}_Y,\,D\in\mathbf{B}}$. We notice that τ_b does not depend on the choice of family $\mathscr{P}_Y$ inducing the topology of Y. Since $\mathbf{B}$ contains all singletons $\{x\}_{x\in X}$ and Y is Hausdorff, also $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X,Y)$ is Hausdorff.

Proposition 3.8. *The map*

$$\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)\times\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)\to\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X),\quad (F,G)\mapsto FG,$$

is sequentially continuous.

Proof. Let $(F,G)\in\mathscr{L}_0(X)\times\mathscr{L}_0(X)$, and let $D\subset X$ be bounded. Let $\{(F_n,G_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence converging to (F,G) in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)\times\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$. Consider the set $D':=\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}G_nD$. We have

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sup_{x\in D}q(G_nx)\le\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sup_{x\in D}q((G_n-G)x)+\sup_{x\in D}q(Gx)\qquad\forall q\in\mathscr{P}_X.$$

On the other hand, $G_n\to G$ yields

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\sup_{x\in D}q((G_n-G)x)=\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\rho_{q,D}(G_n-G)<+\infty,\quad\forall q\in\mathscr{P}_X.$$

Then, combining with Proposition 3.5*(i)*, we conclude that D' is bounded.

Now fix $q\in\mathscr{P}_X$. For every $n\in\mathbb{N}$, we can write

$$\rho_{q,D}((FG-F_nG_n))\le\rho_{q,D}(F(G-G_n))+\rho_{q,D}((F-F_n)G_n)\le\rho_{q,D}(F(G-G_n))+\rho_{q,D'}(F-F_n).$$

Now $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\rho_{q,D'}(F-F_n)=0$, because $D'\in\mathbf{B}$ and $F_n\to F$ in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$. Hence we conclude if we show $\lim_{n\to+\infty}\rho_{q,D}(F(G-G_n))=0$. Assume, by contradiction, that there exist $\varepsilon>0$, $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset D$, and a subsequence $\{G_{n_k}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that

$$q(F(G-G_{n_k})x_k)\ge\varepsilon\qquad\forall k\in\mathbb{N}.\tag{3.7}$$

Since

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}q'((G-G_{n_k})x_k)\le\lim_{n\to+\infty}\rho_{q',D}(G-G_{n_k})=0\qquad\forall q'\in\mathscr{P}_X,$$

then $\{z_k:=(G-G_{n_k}x_k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence converging to 0 in X. By sequential continuity of F, we have $\lim_{k\to+\infty}q(Fz_k)=0$, contradicting (3.7) and concluding the proof. ■

Proposition 3.9. *(i) If Y is complete, then $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X,Y)$ is complete.*

(ii) If Y is sequentially complete, then $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X,Y)$ is sequentially complete.

Proof. *(i)* Let $\{F_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ be a Cauchy net in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X,Y)$. Then , by definition of τ_b, the net $\{F_\iota(x)\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ is Cauchy in Y, for every $x\in X$. Since Y is complete, for every $x\in X$, the limit $F(x):=\lim_\iota F_\iota(x)$ exists in Y. Clearly, F is linear. Now we show that it is sequentially continuous. Let $q\in\mathscr{P}_Y$ and denote by D the bounded set $D:=\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$, where $x_n\to0$ in X. Then

$$q(Fx_n)=\lim_{\iota\ge\bar\iota}q(F_\iota x_n)\le\lim_{\iota\ge\bar\iota}q((F_\iota-F_{\bar\iota})x_n)+q(F_{\bar\iota}x_n)\le\sup_{\iota\ge\bar\iota}\rho_{q,D}(F_\iota-F_{\bar\iota})+q(F_{\bar\iota}x_n),\qquad\forall\bar\iota\in\mathscr{I},\ \forall n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Taking the $\limsup_{n\to+\infty}$ in the inequality above and taking into account that $\{F_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ is a Cauchy net in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X,Y)$ yield the sequential continuity of F.

We now show that $\lim_\iota F_\iota=F$ in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X,Y)$. Let $D\in\mathbf{B}$ and let $q\in\mathscr{P}_Y$. We have

$$q((F-F_{\bar\iota})x)=\lim_{\iota\ge\bar\iota}q((F_\iota-F_{\bar\iota})x)\le\sup_{\iota\ge\bar\iota}\rho_{q,D}(F_\iota-F_{\bar\iota})\qquad\forall\bar\iota\in\mathscr{I},\ \forall x\in D,$$

and the conclusion follows as $\{F_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ is a Cauchy net in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X,Y)$.

(ii) It follows by similar arguments as those above, taking now Y sequentially complete and replacing $\mathscr{I}$ by $\mathbb{N}$. ■

3.3 Families of sequentially equicontinuous functions

Proposition 3.10. *For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $i = 1, \dots, n$, let $\mathscr{F}^{(i)} = \{F^{(i)}_\iota : X \to X\}_{\iota \in \mathscr{I}_i}$ be families of sequentially equicontinuous linear operators. Then the following hold.*

(i) The family $\mathscr{F} = \{F^{(1)}_{\iota_1} F^{(2)}_{\iota_2} \dots F^{(n)}_{\iota_n} : X \to X\}_{\iota_1 \in \mathscr{I}_1, \dots, \iota_n \in \mathscr{I}_n}$ is sequentially equicontinuous.

(ii) The family $\mathscr{F} = \{F^{(1)}_{\iota_1} + F^{(2)}_{\iota_2} + \dots + F^{(n)}_{\iota_n} : X \to Y\}_{\iota_1 \in \mathscr{I}_1, \dots, \iota_n \in \mathscr{I}_n}$ is sequentially equicontinuous.

(iii) The family $\mathscr{F}$ is equibounded, that is, if D is a bounded subset of X, then $\left\{F^{(i)}_{\iota_i} x\right\}_{\substack{\iota_i \in \mathscr{I}_i, \\ i=1,\dots,n \\ x \in D}}$ is bounded in X.

Proof. *(i)* It suffices to prove the statement for $n = 2$. By contradiction, assume that there exist a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converging to 0 in X, sequences $\{\iota_1^{(k)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathscr{I}_1$ and $\{\iota_2^{(k)}\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathscr{I}_2$, $p \in \mathscr{P}_X$, and $\varepsilon > 0$, such that

$$p\left(\left(F^{(1)}_{\iota_1^{(k)}} F^{(2)}_{\iota_2^{(k)}}\right) x_k\right) \geq \varepsilon \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\mathscr{F}^{(2)}$ is sequentially equicontinuous, we have

$$\limsup_{k\to+\infty} q\left(F^{(2)}_{\iota_2^{(k)}} x_k\right) \leq \lim_{k\to+\infty} \sup_{\iota_2 \in \mathscr{I}_2} q\left(F^{(2)}_{\iota_2} x_k\right) = 0, \qquad \forall q \in \mathscr{P}_X.$$

This means that the sequence $\left\{y_k := F^{(2)}_{\iota_2^{(k)}} x_k\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 in X. Then, in the same way, since $\mathscr{F}^{(1)}$ is sequentially equicontinuous,

$$\limsup_{k\to+\infty} p\left(\left(F^{(1)}_{\iota_1^{(k)}} F^{(2)}_{\iota_2^{(k)}}\right) x_k\right) = \limsup_{k\to+\infty} p\left(F^{(1)}_{\iota_1^{(k)}} y_k\right) \leq \limsup_{k\to+\infty} \sup_{\iota_1 \in \mathscr{I}_1} p\left(F^{(1)}_{\iota_1} y_k\right) = 0,$$

and the contradiction arises.

(ii) The proof follows by the triangular inequality.

(iii) Assume, by contradiction, that there exist a bounded set D and $p \in \mathscr{P}_X$ such that

$$\sup_{\substack{\iota_i \in \mathscr{I}_i \\ i=1,\dots,n, \\ x \in D}} p\left(F^{(i)}_{\iota_i} x\right) = +\infty.$$

Then there exist $\bar{\imath} \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and sequences $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset D$, $\{\iota_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathscr{I}_{\bar{\imath}}$, such that

$$p\left(F^{(\bar{\imath})}_{\iota_k} x_k\right) \geq k, \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.8}$$

On the other hand, since D is bounded, the sequence $\left\{\frac{x_k}{k}\right\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}\setminus\{0\}}$ converges to 0, and then, since the family $\left\{F^{(\bar{\imath})}_\iota\right\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}_{\bar{\imath}}}$ is sequentially equicontinuous, we have

$$\lim_{k\to+\infty} p\left(F^{(\bar{\imath})}_{\iota_k} \frac{x_k}{k}\right) = 0,$$

which contradicts (3.8), concluding the proof. ∎

The following proposition clarifies when the notion of sequential equicontinuity for a family of linear operators is equivalent to the notion of equicontinuity.

Proposition 3.11. *Let $\mathscr{F} := \{F_\iota : X \to X\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ be a family of linear operators. If $\mathscr{F} \subset L(X)$ is equicontinuous, then $\mathscr{F} \subset \mathscr{L}_0(X)$ and $\mathscr{F}$ is sequentially equicontinuous.*

Conversely, if X is metrizable and $\mathscr{F} \subset \mathscr{L}_0(X)$ is sequentially equicontinuous, then $\mathscr{F} \subset L(X)$ and $\mathscr{F}$ is equicontinuous.

Proof. The first statement being obvious, we will only show the second one.

Assume that $\mathscr{F}$ is sequentially equicontinuous and that X is metrizable. Since X is metrizable, we have $\mathscr{L}_0(X) = L(X)$. Assume, by contradiction, that $\mathscr{F}$ is not equicontinuous. Since the topology of X is induced by a countable family of seminorms $\{p_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ (see [19, Th. 3.35, p. 77]), it then follows that there exist a continuous seminorm q on X and sequences $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$, $\{\iota_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathscr{I}$ such that

$$\sup_{k=1,\dots,n} p_k(x_n) < \frac{1}{n}, \qquad q(F_{\iota_n} x_n) > 1, \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

But then

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} x_n = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \liminf_{n\to+\infty}\left(\sup_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}} q(F_\iota x_n)\right) \geq \liminf_{n\to+\infty} q(F_{\iota_n} x_n) \geq 1,$$

which implies that $\mathscr{F}$ is not sequentially equicontinuous, getting a contradiction and concluding the proof. ∎

3.4 C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups

We now introduce the notion of C_0-sequentially (locally) equicontinuous semigroups.

Definition 3.12 (C_0-sequentially (locally) equicontinuous semigroup)**.** *A family of linear operators (not necessarily continuous)*

$$T := \{T_t : X \to X\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$$

is called a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup *on X if the following properties hold.*

(i) (Semigroup property) $T_0 = I$ and $T_{t+s} = T_t T_s$ for all $t, s \geq 0$.

(ii) (C_0- or strong continuity property) $\lim_{t\to 0^+} T_t x = x$, for every $x \in X$.

(iii) (Sequential equicontinuity) T is a sequentially equicontinuous family.

The family T is said to be a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup if (iii) is replaced by

(iii)′ (Sequential local equicontinuity) $\{T_t\}_{t\in[0,R]}$ is sequentially locally equicontinuous for every $R > 0$.

Remark 3.13. *The notion of C_0-sequentially (locally) equicontinuous semigroup that we introduced is clearly a generalization of the notion of C_0-(locally) equicontinuous semigroup considered, e.g., in [26, Ch. IX], [15]. By Proposition 3.11 the two notions coincide if X is metrizable. In order to motivate the introduction of C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups, we stress two facts.*

(1) Even if a semigroup on a sequentially complete space is C_0-(locally) equicontinuous, proving this property might be harder than proving that it is only C_0-sequentially equicontinuous. For instance, in locally convex functional spaces with topologies defined by seminorms involving integrals, one can use integral convergence theorems for sequence of functions which do not hold for nets of functions.

(2) The notion of C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup is a genuine generalization of the notion of C_0-equicontinuous semigroup of [26], as shown by Example 3.48.

As for C_0-semigroups in Banach spaces, given a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup T, we define

$$\mathscr{D}(A) := \left\{ x \in X : \exists \lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{T_h x - x}{h} \in X \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathscr{D}(A)$ is a linear subspace of X. Then, we define the linear operator $A : \mathscr{D}(A) \to X$ as

$$Ax := \lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{T_h x - x}{h}, \qquad x \in \mathscr{D}(A),$$

and call it the *infinitesimal generator* of T.

Proposition 3.14. *Let $T := \{T_t : X \to X\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ be a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup.*

(i) For every $x \in X$, the function $Tx : \mathbb{R}^+ \to X$, $t \mapsto T_t x$, is continuous.

(ii) If T is sequentially equicontinuous, then, for every $x \in X$, the function $Tx : \mathbb{R}^+ \to X$, $t \mapsto T_t x$, is bounded.

Proof. *(i)* Let $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ be a sequence converging from the right (resp., from the left) to $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By Definition 3.12*(i)*, we have, for every $p \in \mathscr{P}_X$ and $x \in X$,

$$p(T_{t_n}x - T_t x) = p(T_t(T_{t_n - t}x - x)) \quad (\text{resp., } p(T_{t_n}x - T_t x) = p(T_{t_n}(T_{t-t_n}x - x))).$$

By Definition 3.12*(ii)*, $\{T_{t_n-t}x - x\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ (*resp.* $\{T_{t-t_n}x - x\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$) converges to 0. Now conclude by using local sequential equicontinuity and (3.1).

(ii) This is provided by Proposition 3.10*(iii)*. ■

As well known, unlike the Banach space case, in locally convex spaces the passage from C_0-locally *equicontinuous* semigroups to C_0-*equicontinuous* semigroups through a renormalization with an exponential function is not obtainable in general (see Examples 3.45 and 3.46 in Subsection 3.9). Nevertheless, we have the following partial result.

Proposition 3.15. *Let τ denote the locally convex topology on X and let $|\cdot|_X$ be a norm on X. Assume that a set is τ-bounded if and only if it is $|\cdot|_X$-bounded. Let T be a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on (X,τ).*

(i) If there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M \geq 1$ such that

$$|T_t|_{L((X,|\cdot|_X))} \leq M e^{\alpha t}, \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{3.9}$$

then, for every $\lambda > \alpha$, the family $\{e^{-\lambda t}T_t : (X,\tau) \to (X,\tau)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup.

(ii) If $(X, |\cdot|_X)$ is Banach, then there exist $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $M \geq 1$ such that (3.9) holds.

Proof. *(i)* Let $\lambda > \alpha$ and let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence converging to 0 in (X,τ). Then $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in (X,τ), thus, by assumption, also in $(X,|\cdot|_X)$. Set $N := \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |x_n|_X$ and let $p \in \mathscr{P}_{(X,\tau)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} p(e^{-\lambda t}T_t x_n) &\leq \sup_{0\leq t\leq s} p(e^{-\lambda t}T_t x_n) + \sup_{t>s} p(e^{-\lambda t}T_t x_n) \\ &\leq \sup_{0\leq t\leq s} p(e^{-\lambda t}T_t x_n) + L_p e^{(\alpha-\lambda)s} MN,\end{aligned}$$

where $L_p := \sup_{x\in X\setminus\{0\}} p(x)/|x|_X$ is finite, because $|\cdot|_X$-bounded sets are τ-bounded. Now we can conclude by applying to the right hand side of the inequality above first the $\limsup_{n\to+\infty}$ and considering that T is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on (X,τ), then the $\lim_{s\to+\infty}$ and taking into account that $\lambda > \alpha$.

(ii) By assumption, the bounded sets of $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ coincide with the bounded sets of (X,τ). By Proposition 3.5*(i)*, we then have $\mathscr{L}_0((X,\tau)) \subset L((X,|\cdot|_X))$. In particular $T_t \in L((X,|\cdot|_X))$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Now, by Proposition 3.14*(i)*, the set $\{T_t x\}_{t\in[0,t_0]}$ is compact in (X,τ) for every $x \in X$ and $t_0 > 0$, hence bounded. We can then apply the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem in $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ and conclude that there exists $M \geq 0$ such that $|T_t|_{L((X,|\cdot|_X))} \leq M$ for all $t \in [0,t_0]$. The conclusion now follows in a standard way from the semigroup property. ■

From here on in this subsection and in Subsections 3.5-3.6, unless differently specified, we will deal with C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups and, to simplify the exposition, we will adopt a standing notation for them and their generator, that is

- $T = \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ denotes a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup;
- A denotes the infinitesimal generator of T.

Also, unless differently specified, from here on in this subsection and in Subsections 3.5-3.6, we will assume the following

Assumption 3.16. *For every $x \in X$ and $\lambda > 0$, there exists the generalized Riemann integral in X ([1])*

$$R(\lambda)x := \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T_t x\, dt. \tag{3.10}$$

Remark 3.17. *By Proposition 3.14, the generalized Riemann integral (3.10) always exists in the sequential completion of X. In particular, Assumption 3.16 is satisfied if X is sequentially complete.*

For every $p \in \mathscr{P}_X$, and every $\lambda, \hat{\lambda} \in (0,+\infty)$, we have the following inequalities, whose proof is straightforward, by triangular inequality and definition of Riemann integral, and by recalling Proposition 3.14:

$$p(R(\lambda)x - y) \leq \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} p(T_t x - \lambda y)dt, \qquad \forall x, y \in X \tag{3.11}$$

$$p(R(\lambda)x - R(\hat{\lambda})x) \leq \int_0^{+\infty} |e^{-\lambda t} - e^{-\hat{\lambda} t}| p(T_t x)dt, \qquad \forall x \in X. \tag{3.12}$$

Proposition 3.18. *If $L \in \mathscr{L}_0(X,Y)$, then $\mathbb{R}^+ \to Y, x \mapsto LT_t x$ is continuous and bounded. Moreover, for every $x \in X$, every $a \geq 0$, and every $\lambda > 0$,*

$$L\int_0^a e^{-\lambda t} T_t x\, dt = \int_0^a e^{-\lambda t} LT_t x\, dt \qquad \text{and} \qquad L\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T_t x\, dt = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} LT_t\, dt, \tag{3.13}$$

where the Riemann integrals on the right-hand side of the equalities exist in Y.

Proof. Continuity of the map $\mathbb{R}^+ \to X$, $t \mapsto LT_t x$, follows from Remark 3.2, from sequential continuity of L and from Proposition 3.14*(i)*. By Proposition 3.14*(ii)*, we have that $\{T_t x\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is bounded, for all $x \in X$. From Proposition 3.5*(i)*, it then follows that $\{LT_t x\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is bounded.

[1] That is, for every $a \geq 0$, the Riemann integral $\int_0^a e^{-\lambda t} T_t x\, dt$ exists in X, and the limit $\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T_t x\, dt := \lim_{a\to+\infty} \int_0^a e^{-\lambda t} T_t x\, dt$ exists in X.

Let $\{\pi^k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of partitions of $[0,a]\subset\mathbb{R}^+$ of the form $\pi^k := \{0 = t_0^k < t_1^k < \ldots < t_{n_k}^k = a\}$, with $|\pi^k| \to 0$ as $k \to +\infty$, where $|\pi^k| := \sup\{|t_{i+1} - t_i| : i = 0,\ldots,n_k - 1\}$. Then, by recalling Assumption 3.16 and by continuity of $\mathbb{R}^+ \to X$, $t \mapsto T_t x$, we have in Y

$$\int_0^a e^{-\lambda t} T_t x dt = \lim_{k\to+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} e^{-\lambda t_i^k} T_{t_i^k} x(t_{i+1}^k - t_i^k).$$

By sequential continuity of L we then have

$$L\int_0^a e^{-\lambda t} T_t x dt = \lim_{k\to+\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{n_k-1} e^{-\lambda t_i^k} L T_{t_i^k} x(t_{i+1}^k - t_i^k). \tag{3.14}$$

Since $\mathbb{R}^+ \to X$, $t \mapsto LT_t x$ is continuous, equality (3.14) entails that $\mathbb{R}^+ \to X$, $t \mapsto e^{-\lambda t} LT_t x$ is Riemann integrable and that the first equality of (3.13) holds true.

The second equality of (3.13) follows from the first one and from sequential continuity of L, by letting $a \to +\infty$. ■

Proposition 3.19. *(i) For every $\lambda > 0$, the operator $R(\lambda) : X \to X$ is linear and sequentially continuous.*

(ii) For every $x \in X$, the function $(0,+\infty) \to X$, $\lambda \mapsto R(\lambda)x$, is continuous.

Proof. *(i)* The linearity of $R(\lambda)$ is clear. It remains to show its sequential continuity. Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$ be a sequence convergent to 0. Then, for all $p \in \mathscr{P}_X$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} p(R(\lambda)x_n) \le \lim_{n\to+\infty} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} p(T_t x_n) dt = \lambda^{-1} \lim_{n\to+\infty} \sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} p(T_t x_n) = 0$$

where the last limit is obtained by sequential equicontinuity and by recalling (3.1).

(ii) For $p \in \mathscr{P}_X$, $x \in X$, $\lambda, \hat{\lambda} \in (0,+\infty)$, by (3.12),

$$p\left(R(\lambda)x - R(\hat{\lambda})x\right) \le \int_0^{+\infty} |e^{-\lambda t} - e^{-\hat{\lambda} t}| p(T_t x) dt \le \sup_{r\in\mathbb{R}^+} p(T_r x) \int_0^{+\infty} |e^{-\lambda t} - e^{-\hat{\lambda} t}| dt.$$

The last integral converges to 0 as $\lambda \to \hat{\lambda}$, and we conclude as $\sup_{r\in\mathbb{R}^+} p(T_r x) < +\infty$ by Proposition 3.14*(ii)*. ■

The following proposition will be used in Subsection 4.2 to fit the theory of weakly continuous semigroups of [2, 3].

Proposition 3.20. *Let $C \subset X$ be sequentially closed, convex, and containing the origin, let $\hat{t} > 0$, and let $x \in X$. If $T_t x \in C$ for all $t \in [0,\hat{t}]$, then*

$$\int_0^{\hat{t}} e^{-\lambda t} T_t x dt \in \frac{1}{\lambda} C, \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \tag{3.15}$$

If $T_t x \in C$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ then,

$$R(\lambda)x \in \frac{1}{\lambda} C, \quad \forall \lambda > 0. \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. We prove the first claim, as the second one is a straightforward consequence of it because of the sequential completeness of C.

Let $\hat{t} > 0$. The Riemann integral in (3.15) is the limit of a sequence of Riemann sums $\{\sigma(\pi^k)\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ of the form

$$\sigma(\pi^k) = \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} e^{-\lambda t_i^k} (t_i^k - t_{i-1}^k) T_{t_i^k} x,$$

with $\pi^k := \{0 = t_0^k < t_1^k < \ldots < t_{m_k}^k = \hat{t}\}$ and $|\pi^k| \to 0$ as $k \to +\infty$, where $|\pi^k| := \sup\{|t_i - t_{i-1}| : i = 1,\ldots,m_k\}$. Then, by sequential closedness of C, we are reduced to show that $\sigma(\pi^k) \in \frac{1}{\lambda}C$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote

$$\alpha_k := \sum_{i=1}^{m_k} e^{-\lambda t_i^k}(t_i^k - t_{i-1}^k), \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$0 < \alpha_k < \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} dt = \lambda^{-1}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

As $\sigma(\pi^k)/\alpha_k$ is a convex combination of the elements $\{T_{t_i^k}x\}_{i=1,\ldots,m_k}$, which belong to C by assumption, recalling that C is convex and contains the origin, we conclude $\sigma(\pi^k) \in \alpha_k C \subset \frac{1}{\lambda}C$, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and the proof is complete. ■

3.5 Generators of C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups

In this subsection we study the generator A of the C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup T.

Recall that a subset U of a topological space Z is said to be sequentially dense in Z if, for every $z \in Z$, there exists a sequence $\{u_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset U$ converging to z in Z. In such a case, it is clear that U is also dense in Z.

Proposition 3.21. *$\mathscr{D}(A)$ is sequentially dense in X.*

Proof. Let $\lambda > 0$ and set $\psi_\lambda := \lambda R(\lambda) \in X$. By (3.13),

$$T_h R(\lambda)x = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T_{h+t}x dt \ \in \ X, \qquad \forall x \in X.$$

Then, following the proof of [26, p. 237, Theorem 1](2), we have

$$\frac{T_h\psi_\lambda x - \psi_\lambda x}{h} = \frac{e^{\lambda h}-1}{h}\left(\psi_\lambda x - \lambda\int_0^h e^{-\lambda t}T_t x dt\right) - \frac{\lambda}{h}\int_0^h e^{-\lambda t}T_t x dt \ \in \ X, \qquad \forall x \in X.$$

Passing to the limit for $h \to 0^+$, we obtain

$$\lim_{h\to 0^+} \frac{T_h\psi_\lambda x - \psi_\lambda x}{h} = \lambda(\psi_\lambda x - x) \ \in \ X, \qquad \forall x \in X.$$

Then $\psi_\lambda x \in \mathscr{D}(A)$ and

$$A\psi_\lambda x = \lambda(\psi_\lambda - I)x \ \in \ X, \qquad \forall x \in X. \tag{3.17}$$

For future reference, we notice that this shows, in particular, that

$$\mathrm{Im}(R(\lambda)) \subset \mathscr{D}(A). \tag{3.18}$$

Now we prove that

$$\lim_{\lambda\to+\infty} \psi_\lambda x = x \qquad \forall x \in X, \tag{3.19}$$

which concludes the proof. By (3.11), we have

$$p(\psi_\lambda x - x) = \lambda p(R(\lambda)x - \lambda^{-1}x) \le \int_0^{+\infty} \lambda e^{-\lambda t} p(T_t x - x) dt \quad \forall x \in X, \ \forall p \in \mathscr{P}_X.$$

By Proposition 3.14*(ii)*, we can apply the dominated convergence theorem to the last integral above when $\lambda \to +\infty$. Then we have

$$p(\psi_\lambda x - x) \to 0, \quad \forall x \in X, \ \forall p \in \mathscr{P}_X,$$

and we obtain (3.19) by arbitrariness of $p \in \mathscr{P}_X$. ■

(2) In the cited result, X is assumed sequentially complete. However, the completeness of X is used in the proof only to define the integrals. In our case, existence for the integrals involved in the proof holds by assumption.

Remark 3.22. *We notice that, if X is sequentially complete, then Proposition 3.21 can be refined. Indeed, as for C_0-semigroups in Banach spaces, we can define $D_\infty := \bigcap_{n=1}^{+\infty} D(A^n)$. If X is sequentially complete, then, for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty((0,+\infty))$ and every $x \in X$, we can define the integral*

$$\varphi_T x := \int_0^{+\infty} \varphi(t) T_t x \, dt.$$

Then one can show that $\varphi_T x \in D_\infty$, $A^n \varphi_T x = (-1)^n (\varphi^{(n)})_T x$, for all $n \geq 1$, and the set $\{\varphi_T x \colon \varphi \in C_c^\infty((0,+\infty)),\ x \in X\}$ is sequentially dense in X.

Proposition 3.23. *Let $x \in \mathscr{D}(A)$. Then*

(i) $T_t x \in \mathscr{D}(A)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$;

(ii) the map $Tx \colon \mathbb{R}^+ \to X$, $t \mapsto T_t x$ is differentiable;

(iii) the following identity holds

$$\frac{d}{dt} T_t x = A T_t x = T_t A x, \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{3.20}$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathscr{D}(A)$. Consider the function $\Delta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to X$ defined by

$$\Delta(h) := \begin{cases} \dfrac{T_h - I}{h} x, & \text{if } h \neq 0 \\ \Delta(0) = Ax. \end{cases}$$

This function is continuous by definition of A. Then, by Remark 3.2,

$$T_t A x = T_t \lim_{h \to 0^+} \Delta(h) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} T_t \Delta(h) = \lim_{h \to 0^+} \frac{T_h T_t x - T_t x}{h}, \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

which shows that *(i)* holds and that

$$T_t A x = A T_t x, \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

The rest of the proof follows exactly as in [26, p. 239, Theorem 2]. ∎

We are going to show that the infinitesimal generator identifies uniquely the semigroup T. For that, we need the following lemma, which will be also used afterwards.

Lemma 3.24. *Let $0 \leq a < b$, $f, g \colon (a,b) \to \mathscr{L}_0(X)$, $t_0 \in (a,b)$, and $x \in X$. Assume that*

(i) the family $\{f(t)\}_{t \in [a',b']}$ is sequentially equicontinuous, for every $a < a' < b' < b$;

(ii) $g(\cdot)x \colon (a,b) \to X$ is differentiable at t_0;

(iii) $f(\cdot)g(t_0)x \colon (a,b) \to X$ is differentiable at t_0.

Then there exists the derivative of $f(\cdot)g(\cdot)x \colon (a,b) \to X$ at $t = t_0$ and

$$\frac{d}{dt}[f(t)g(t)x]|_{t=t_0} = \frac{d}{dt}[f(t)g(t_0)x]|_{t=t_0} + f(t_0)\frac{d}{dt}[g(t)x]|_{t=t_0}.$$

Proof. For $h \in \mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}$ such that $[t_0-|h|, t_0+|h|] \subset (a,b)$, write

$$\begin{aligned} f(t_0+h)g(t_0+h)x - f(t_0)g(t_0)x =& f(t_0+h)\left(g(t_0+h)-g(t_0)-h\frac{d}{dt}[g(t)x]|_{t=t_0}\right) \\ &+ hf(t_0+h)\frac{d}{dt}[g(t)x]|_{t=t_0} + (f(t_0+h)-f(t_0))\,g(t_0)x \\ =:& I_1(h)+I_2(h)+I_3(h). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $h \to 0$, we have $h^{-1}I_2(h) \to f(t_0)\frac{d}{dt}[g(t)x]|_{t=t_0}x$ and $h^{-1}I_3(h) \to \frac{d}{dt}[f(t)g(t_0)x]|_{t=t_0}$. Moreover,

$$p\left(h^{-1}I_1(h)\right) \le \sup_{s\in[t_0-|h|,t_0+|h|]} p\left(f(s)\left(\frac{g(t_0+h)-g(t_0)}{h} - \frac{d}{dt}[g(t)x]|_{t=t_0}\right)x\right), \quad \forall p \in \mathscr{P}_X,$$

and the member at the right-hand side of the inequality above tends to 0 as $h \to 0$, because of sequential local equicontinuity of the family $\{f(s)\}_{s\in(a,b)}$ (part *(i)* of the assumptions) and because of differentiability of $g(\cdot)x$ in t_0. ■

Theorem 3.25. *Let S be a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup on X with infinitesimal generator $A_S = A$. Then $S = T$.*

Proof. For $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathscr{D}(A)$, consider the function $f\colon [0,t] \to X$, $s \mapsto T_{t-s}S_s x$. By Proposition 3.23 and Lemma 3.24, $f'(s) = 0$ for all $s \in [0,t]$, and then $T_t x = f(0) = f(t) = S_t x$. Since $\mathscr{D}(A)$ is sequentially dense in X and the operators T_t, S_t are sequentially continuous, we have $T_t x = S_t x$ for all $x \in X$, and we conclude by arbitrariness of $t > 0$. ■

Definition 3.26. *Let $\mathscr{D}(C) \subset X$ be a linear subspace. For a linear operator $C\colon \mathscr{D}(C) \to X$, we define the* spectrum $\sigma_0(C)$ *as the set of $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that one of the following holds:*

(i) $\lambda - C$ *is not one-to-one;*

(ii) $\mathrm{Im}(\lambda - C) \neq X$*;*

(iii) there exists $(\lambda - C)^{-1}$*, but it is not sequentially continuous.*

We denote $\rho_0(C) := \mathbb{R}\setminus\sigma_0(C)$, and call it resolvent set *of C. If $\lambda \in \rho_0(C)$, we denote by $R(\lambda, C)$ the sequentially continuous inverse $(\lambda - C)^{-1}$ of $\lambda - C$.*

Theorem 3.27. *If $\lambda > 0$, then $\lambda \in \rho_0(A)$ and $R(\lambda, A) = R(\lambda)$.*

Proof. *Step 1.* Here we show that $\lambda - A$ is one-to-one for every $\lambda > 0$. Let $x \in \mathscr{D}(A)$. By Proposition 3.23, for any $f \in X^*$, the function $F\colon \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \mapsto f(e^{-\lambda t}T_t x)$ is differentiable, and $F'(t) = f(e^{-\lambda t}T_t(A-\lambda)x)$. If $(A-\lambda)x = 0$, then F is constant. By Proposition 3.14*(ii)*, $F(t) \to 0$ as $t \to +\infty$, hence it must be $F \equiv 0$. Then $f(x) = F(0) = 0$. As f is arbitrary, we conclude that $x = 0$ and, therefore, that $\lambda - A$ is one-to-one.

Step 2. Here we show that $\lambda - A$ is invertible and $R(\lambda, A) = R(\lambda)$, for every $\lambda > 0$. By (3.18) and (3.17),

$$(\lambda - A)R(\lambda) = I \tag{3.21}$$

which shows that $\lambda - A$ is onto, and then invertible (by recalling also Step 1), and that $(\lambda - A)^{-1} = R(\lambda)$.

Step 3. The fact $(\lambda - A)^{-1} \in \mathscr{L}_0(X)$ follows from Step 2 and Proposition 3.19*(i)*. ■

Corollary 3.28. *The operator A is sequentially closed, that is, its graph $\mathrm{Gr}(A)$ is sequentially closed in $X \times X$.*

Proof. Observe that $(x,y) \in \mathrm{Gr}(A)$ if and only if $(x, x-y) \in \mathrm{Gr}(I-A)$, and hence if and only if $(x-y,x) \in \mathrm{Gr}(R(1,A))$. As $R(1,A) \in \mathscr{L}_0(X)$, then its graph is sequentially closed in $X \times X$, and we conclude. ■

Corollary 3.29. *We have the following.*

(i) $AR(\lambda,A)x = \lambda R(\lambda,A)x - x$, *for all* $\lambda > 0$ *and* $x \in X$.

(ii) $R(\lambda,A)Ax = AR(\lambda,A)x$, *for all* $\lambda > 0$ *and* $x \in \mathscr{D}(A)$.

(iii) (Resolvent equation) For every $\lambda > 0$ *and* $\mu > 0$,

$$R(\lambda,A) - R(\mu,A) = (\mu - \lambda)R(\lambda,A)R(\mu,A). \tag{3.22}$$

(iv) For every $x \in X$, $\lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda R(\lambda,A)x = x$.

Proof. *(i)* It follows from (3.21).

(ii) By *(i)* and considering that $x \in \mathscr{D}(A)$, we can write

$$AR(\lambda,A)x = \lambda R(\lambda,A)x - x = \lambda R(\lambda,A)x - R(\lambda,A)(\lambda - A)x = R(\lambda,A)Ax.$$

(iii) It follows from *(i)* by standard algebraic computations.

(iv) This follows from (3.19) and from Theorem 3.27. ■

Remark 3.30. *The computations involved in the proof of Corollary 3.29(iii) require only that* $A : \mathscr{D}(A) \subset X \to X$ *is a linear operator and* $\lambda, \mu \in \rho_0(A)$.

Proposition 3.31. *The family of operators* $\{\lambda^n R(\lambda,A)^n : X \to X\}_{\lambda>0, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ *is sequentially equicontinuous.*

Proof. Arguing as in the proof of [26, p. 241, Theorem 2] ([3]), we obtain the inequality

$$\sup_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ \lambda > 0}} p\left(\lambda^{n+1} R(\lambda,A)^{n+1} x\right) \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} p(T_t x), \qquad \forall p \in \mathscr{P}_X,$$

which provides the sequential equicontinuity due to sequential equicontinuity of T. ■

Proposition 3.32. *Let* $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_j$ *be strictly positive real numbers. Then*

$$p\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j} \lambda_i R(\lambda_i,A)\right)x\right) \leq \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} p(T_t x), \qquad \forall p \in \mathscr{P}_X, \ \ \forall x \in X.$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.27 and by Proposition 3.18, for every $x \in X$ we have

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j} R(\lambda_i,A)\right)x = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_1 t_1} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_2 t_2} \dots \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t_j} T_{\sum_{i=1}^{j} t_i} x \, dt_j \dots dt_2 dt_1$$

and then

$$\begin{aligned} p\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^{j} R(\lambda_i,A)\right)x\right) &\leq \left(\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_1 t_1} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_2 t_2} \dots \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t_j} dt_j \dots dt_2 dt_1\right) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} p(T_t x) \\ &= \left(\prod_{i=1}^{j} \lambda_i^{-1}\right) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+} p(T_t x). \end{aligned}$$

This concludes the proof, because T is sequentially equicontinuous. ■

[3] Also here, we remark that the sequential completeness of the space is not necessary, once that Assumption 3.16 is standing.

3.6 Generation of C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups

The aim of this subsection is to state a generation theorem for C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups in the spirit of the Hille-Yosida theorem stated for C_0-semigroups in Banach spaces. In order to implement the classical arguments (with slight variations due to our "sequential continuity" setting), and, more precisely, in order to define the Yosida approximation, we need the sequential completeness of the space X.

Proposition 3.33. *Let X be sequentially complete and let $B \in \mathscr{L}_0(X)$. Assume that the family $\{B^n : X \to X\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sequentially equicontinuous. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an analytic function of the form $f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n t^n$, with $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the following hold.*

(i) The series

$$f_B(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n t^n B^n \tag{3.23}$$

converges in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$ uniformly for t on compact sets of $\mathbb{R}$.

(ii) The function $f_B : \mathbb{R} \to \mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$, $t \mapsto f_B(t)$ is continuous.

(iii) The family $\{f_B(t)\}_{t\in[-r,r]}$ is sequentially equicontinuous for every $r > 0$.

Proof. *(i)* For $0 \le n \le m$, $p \in \mathscr{P}_X$, $D \subset X$ bounded, $r > 0$, $x \in D$, $t \in [-r,r]$, we write

$$p\left(\sum_{k=n}^{m} a_k t^k B^k x\right) \le \sum_{k=n}^{m} |a_k||t|^k p\left(B^k x\right) \le \left(\sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} |a_k| r^k\right) \sup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} p\left(B^i x\right) \le \left(\sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} |a_k| r^k\right) \sup_{y\in\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} B^i D} p(y). \tag{3.24}$$

Observe that, by Proposition 3.10*(iii)*, the supremum appearing in last term of (3.24) is finite. Then

$$\sup_{t\in[-r,r]} \rho_{p,D}\left(\sum_{k=n}^{m} a_k t^k B^k\right) \le \left(\sum_{k=n}^{+\infty} |a_k| r^k\right) \sup_{y\in\bigcup_{i\ge 0} B^i D} p(y) \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.25}$$

shows that the sequence of the partials sums of (3.23) is Cauchy in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$, uniformly for $t \in [-r,r]$, and then, by Proposition 3.9*(ii)*, the sum is convergent, uniformly for $t \in [-r,r]$.

(ii) This follows from convergence of the partial sums in the space $C([-r,r], \mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X))$ endowed with the compact-open topology, as shown above.

(iii) By continuity of p, estimate (3.24) shows that

$$\sup_{t\in[-r,r]} p\left(f_B(t)x\right) = \sup_{t\in[-r,r]} \lim_{n\to+\infty} p\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k t^k B^k x\right) \le \left(\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} |a_k| r^k\right) \sup_{i\in\mathbb{N}} p\left(B^i x\right) \qquad \forall x \in X,$$

which provides the sequential equicontinuity of $\{f_B(t)\}_{t\in[-r,r]}$. ∎

Lemma 3.34. *Let X be sequentially complete. Let $B, C \in \mathscr{L}_0(X)$ be such that $\{B^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{C^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are sequentially equicontinous. Let $f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} a_n t^n$, $g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} b_n t^n$ be analytic functions defined on $\mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$p\left(f_B(t) g_C(s) x\right) \le \left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} |a_n||t|^n\right)\left(\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} |b_n||s|^n\right) \sup_{i,j\in\mathbb{N}} p\left(B^i C^j x\right), \qquad \forall p \in \mathscr{P}_X,\ \forall x \in X,\ \forall t,s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.26}$$

and the family $\{f_B(t) g_C(s)\}_{t,s\in[-r,r]}$ is sequentially equicontinuous for every $r > 0$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.33 and by recalling that every partial sum $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i t^i B^i$ is sequentially continuous, we can write

$$p\left(f_B(t)g_C(s)x\right) = \lim_{n\to+\infty}\lim_{m\to+\infty} p\left(\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i t^i B^i\right)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j s^j C^j\right)x\right) \qquad \forall p\in\mathscr{P}_X,\ \forall x\in X,\ \forall t,s\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Then, we obtain (3.26) by the properties of the seminorms. The sequential equicontinuity of the family $\{f_B(t)g_C(s)\}_{t,s\in[-r,r]}$ comes from (3.26) and Proposition 3.10*(i)*. ■

Proposition 3.35. *Let X be sequentially complete. Let B, C, f, g, as in Lemma 3.34. We have the following:*

(i) $(f+g)_B = f_B + g_B$ *and* $(fg)_B = f_B g_B$*;*

(ii) if $BC = CB$*, then* $f_B(t)g_C(s) = g_C(s)f_B(t)$*, for every* $t,s\in\mathbb{R}$*, and* $\{f_B(t)g_C(s)\}_{t,s\in[-r,r]}$ *is sequentially equicontinuous for every* $r>0$*.*

Proof. The proof follows by algebraic computations on the partial sums and then passing to the limit. ■

Notation 3.36. *We denote* $e^{tB} := f_B(t)$ *when* $f(t) = e^t$*.*

Proposition 3.37. *Let X be sequentially complete.*

(i) Let $B,C\in\mathscr{L}_0(X)$ *be such that* $BC=CB$*, and assume that the families* $\{B^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *and* $\{C^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *are sequentially equicontinous. Then, for every* $t,s\in\mathbb{R}$*,*

(a) the sum $e^{tB+sC} := \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\frac{(tB+sC)^n}{n!}$ *converges in* $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$*;*

(b) $e^{tB+sC} = e^{tB}e^{sC} = e^{sC}e^{tB}$*;*

(c) the family $\left\{e^{tB+sC}\right\}_{t,s\in[-r,r]}$ *is sequentially equicontinuous for every* $r>0$*.*

(ii) Let $B\in\mathscr{L}_0(X)$ *be such that the family* $\{B^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ *is sequentially equicontinous. Then* $\{e^{tB}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ *is a* C_0*-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on* X *with infinitesimal generator* B*.*

Proof. *(i)* Let $r>0$ and $t\in[-r,r]$. By standard computations, we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{(B+C)^i}{i!}t^i = \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{B^i}{i!}t^i\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{C^i}{i!}t^i\right) - \sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{B^i}{i!}t^i\left(\sum_{k=n-i+1}^{n}\frac{C^k}{k!}t^k\right). \tag{3.27}$$

Let $D\subset X$ be a bounded set. For $x\in D$ and $p\in\mathscr{P}_X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{B^i}{i!}t^i\left(\sum_{k=n-i+1}^{n}\frac{C^k x}{k!}t^k\right)\right) &\le \sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{k=n-i+1}^{n}\frac{1}{i!k!}r^{i+k}\,p\left(B^iC^kx\right)\\ &\le \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{k=n-i+1}^{n}\frac{1}{i!k!}r^{i+k}\right)\sup_{i,k\in\mathbb{N}}\rho_{p,D}\left(B^iC^k\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.10*(i)*, the family $\{B^iC^k\}_{i,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sequentially equicontinuous. Hence, by Proposition 3.10*(iii)*, we have $\sup_{i,k\in\mathbb{N}}\rho_{p,D}\left(B^iC^k\right)<+\infty$. Moreover, Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem applied in discrete spaces yields

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{k=n-i+1}^{n}\frac{1}{i!k!}r^{i+k} = 0.$$

So, we conclude

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \rho_{p,D}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{B^i}{i!}t^i\left(\sum_{k=n-i+1}^{n}\frac{C^k}{k!}t^k\right)\right)=0. \tag{3.28}$$

On the other hand, by Proposition 3.8,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{B^i}{i!}t^i\right)\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{C^i}{i!}t^i\right)=\lim_{n\to+\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{B^i}{i!}t^i\right)\lim_{n\to+\infty}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{C^i}{i!}t^i\right)=e^{tB}e^{tC}, \tag{3.29}$$

where the limits are taken in the space $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$. By (3.27), (3.28) and (3.29), we obtain

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{(B+C)^i}{i!}t^i=e^{tB}e^{tC}, \tag{3.30}$$

with the limit taken in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$.

Now, let $t\neq 0$ and $|s|\leq|t|$([4]). Then $\left\{\left(\frac{s}{t}C\right)^n\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sequentially equicontinuous. By replacing C by $\frac{s}{t}C$ in (3.30), we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{n}\frac{(tB+sC)^i}{i!}=e^{tB}e^{(\frac{s}{t}C)t}=e^{tB}e^{sC}, \tag{3.31}$$

where the limits are in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$. So we have proved *(a)*. Properties *(b)* and *(c)* now follow from (3.31) and from Proposition 3.35*(ii)*.

(ii) First we notice that $e^{0B}=I$ by definition. The semigroup property for $\{e^{tB}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is given by *(i)*, which also provides the sequential local equicontinuity. Proposition 3.33 provides the continuity of the map $\mathbb{R}^+\to X$, $t\mapsto e^{tB}x$, for every $x\in X$. Hence, we have proved that $\{e^{tB}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup. It remains to show that the infinitesimal generator is B. For $h>0$, define $f(t;h):=e^{ht}-1-ht$. By applying (3.26) to the map $\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$, $t\mapsto f(t;h)$, with B in place of B, and with $C=I$ and $g\equiv 1$, we obtain

$$p\left(\frac{e^{hB}-I}{h}x-Bx\right)=h^{-1}p\left(f_B(1;h)\right)\leq h^{-1}f(1;h)\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}p\left(B^nx\right)$$

and the last term converges to 0 as $h\to 0^+$, because of sequential equicontinuity of $\{B^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. This shows that the domain of the generator is the whole space X and that the generator is B. ■

We can now state the equivalent of the Hille-Yosida generation theorem in our framework of C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups.

Theorem 3.38. *Let $\hat{A}:\mathscr{D}(\hat{A})\subset X\to X$ be a linear operator. Consider the following two statements.*

(i) $\hat{A}$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup $\hat{T}$ on X.

(ii) $\hat{A}$ is a sequentially closed linear operator, $\mathscr{D}(\hat{A})$ is sequentially dense in X, and there exists a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\rho_0(\hat{A})$, with $\lambda_n\to+\infty$, such that the family $\left\{\left(\lambda_nR(\lambda_n,\hat{A})\right)^m\right\}_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sequentially equicontinuous.

Then (i)⇒(ii). If X is sequentially complete, then (ii)⇒(i).

[4] If $|t|<|s|$, we can exchange the role of B and C, by simmetry of the sums appearing in (3.31).

Proof. *(i)⇒(ii).* The fact that $\hat{A}$ is a sequentially closed linear operator was proved in Corollary 3.28. The fact that $\mathscr{D}(\hat{A})$ is sequentially dense in X was proved in Proposition 3.21. The remaining facts follow by Proposition 3.32 and Theorem 3.27.

(ii)⇒(i). We split this part of the proof in several steps.

Step 1. Let $\{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \rho_0(\hat{A})$ be a sequence as in *(ii)*. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $J_{\lambda_n} := \lambda_n R(\lambda_n, \hat{A})$. Observe that, for all $x \in \mathscr{D}(\hat{A})$, it is $(J_{\lambda_n} - I)x = R(\lambda_n, \hat{A})\hat{A}x$. By assumption, the family $\{J_{\lambda_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sequentially equicontinuous, and then, for every $x \in \mathscr{D}(\hat{A})$ and $p \in \mathscr{P}_X$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} p\left(J_{\lambda_n}x - x\right) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} p\left(R(\lambda_n,\hat{A})\hat{A}x\right) \le \lim_{n\to+\infty} \lambda_n^{-1}\left(\sup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} p\left(J_k\hat{A}x\right)\right) = 0. \tag{3.32}$$

Now let $x \in X$. By assumption, there exists a sequence $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathscr{D}(\hat{A})$ converging to x in X. We have

$$p\left(J_{\lambda_n}x - x\right) \le p\left(x - x_k\right) + p\left(J_{\lambda_n}x_k - x_k\right) + p\left(J_{\lambda_n}(x - x_k)\right), \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall p \in \mathscr{P}_X.$$

By taking first the lim sup in n and then the limit as $k \to +\infty$ in the inequality above, and recalling (3.32) and the sequential equicontinuity of $\{J_{\lambda_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we conclude

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} J_{\lambda_n}x = x, \qquad \forall x \in X. \tag{3.33}$$

Step 2. Here we show that, for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $T_t^{(n)} := e^{t\hat{A}J_{\lambda_n}}$ is well-defined as a convergent series in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$, and that $\{T_t^{(n)}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sequentially equicontinuous. Taking into account that $\hat{A}J_{\lambda_n} = \lambda_n(J_{\lambda_n} - I)$, we have (as formal sums) $T_t^{(n)} = e^{t\hat{A}J_{\lambda_n}} = e^{t\lambda_n(J_{\lambda_n}-I)}$. Since $\{J_{\lambda_n}^k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is assumed to be sequentially equicontinuous, by Proposition 3.37*(i)*, $T_t^{(n)}$ is well-defined as a convergent series in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$, and

$$T_t^{(n)} = e^{-t\lambda_n I}\, e^{t\lambda_n J_{\lambda_n}}. \tag{3.34}$$

Hence, using Proposition 3.37*(ii)*, the family $\{T_t^{(n)}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup for each fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, by (3.34) and by Lemma 3.34, we have

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} p\left(T_t^{(n)}x\right) = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\left(e^{-t\lambda_n} p\left(e^{t\lambda_n J_{\lambda_n}}x\right)\right) \le \sup_{n,k\in\mathbb{N}} p(J_{\lambda_n}^k x), \qquad \forall p \in \mathscr{P}_X,\ \forall x \in X.$$

As, by assumption, $\{J_{\lambda_n}^k\}_{n,k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sequentially equicontinuous, this shows that $\{T_t^{(n)}\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+,n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sequentially equicontinuous.

Step 3. Here we show that the sequence $\{T_t^{(n)}x\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $x \in \mathscr{D}(\hat{A})$. First note that, since the family $\{R(\lambda_n,\hat{A})\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a commutative set (see (3.22) and Remark 3.30), also the family $\{J_{\lambda_n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a commutative set. Then $\lambda_m(J_{\lambda_m} - I)$ commutes with every J_{λ_n}. Since the sum defining $T_t^{(m)}$ is convergent in $\mathscr{L}_{0,b}(X)$, we have $T_t^{(m)}J_{\lambda_n} = J_{\lambda_n}T_t^{(m)}$ and $T_t^{(m)}T_s^{(n)} = T_s^{(n)}T_t^{(m)}$ for every $m,n \in \mathbb{N}$, $t,s \in \mathbb{R}^+$. By Lemma 3.24 and by the commutativity just noticed, if $x \in X$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the map $F\colon [0,t] \to X$, $s \mapsto T_{t-s}^{(n)}T_s^{(m)}x$, is differentiable and

$$T_t^{(m)}x - T_t^{(n)}x = \int_0^t F'(s)ds = \int_0^t T_{t-s}^{(n)}T_s^{(m)}\hat{A}\left(J_{\lambda_m} - J_{\lambda_n}\right)x ds,$$

where the integral is well-defined by sequential completeness of X. We notice that $J_{\lambda_n}\hat{A} = \hat{A}J_{\lambda_n}$ on $\mathscr{D}(\hat{A})$. Then, from the equality above we deduce

$$p\left(T_t^{(m)}x - T_t^{(n)}x\right) \le \int_0^t p\left(T_{t-s}^{(n)}T_s^{(m)}\left(J_{\lambda_m} - J_{\lambda_n}\right)\hat{A}x\right)ds, \qquad \forall x \in \mathscr{D}(\hat{A}),\ \forall p \in \mathscr{P}_X,$$

and then

$$\sup_{t\in[0,\hat t]} p\left(T_t^{(m)}x - T_t^{(n)}x\right) \le \hat t \sup_{t,s\in[0,\hat t]} p\left(T_t^{(n)}T_s^{(m)}\left(J_{\lambda_m} - J_{\lambda_n}\right)\hat A x\right), \qquad \forall \hat t > 0,\ \forall x \in \mathscr{D}(\hat A),\ \forall p \in \mathscr{P}_X. \tag{3.35}$$

Now observe that, by Proposition 3.10*(i)* and Step 2, the family $\{T_t^{(m)}T_s^{(n)}\}_{\substack{t,s\in\mathbb{R}^+\\ m,n\in\mathbb{N}}}$ is sequentially equicontinuous, and then the term on the right-hand side of (3.35) goes to 0 as $n,m \to +\infty$, because of (3.33). Hence, the sequence $\{T_t^{(n)}x\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathscr{D}(\hat A)$.

Step 4. By Step 3 and by sequential completeness of X, we conclude that there exists in X

$$\hat T_t x := \lim_{n\to+\infty} T_t^{(n)}x, \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ \forall x \in \mathscr{D}(\hat A). \tag{3.36}$$

Moreover, by (3.35), the limit (3.36) is uniform in $t \in [0,\hat t]$, for every $\hat t > 0$.

Step 5. We extend the result of Step 4, stated for $x \in \mathscr{D}(\hat A)$, to all $x \in X$. Let $\hat t > 0$ and let $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset D(\hat A)$ be a sequence converging to x in X. We can write

$$T_t^{(m)}x - T_t^{(n)}x = \left(T_t^{(m)} - T_t^{(n)}\right)(x - x_k) + \left(T_t^{(m)} - T_t^{(n)}\right)x_k, \qquad \forall t \in [0,\hat t],\ \forall m,n,k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then, using Step 4, we have, uniformly for $t \in [0,\hat t]$,

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n,m\to+\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,\hat t]} p\left(T_t^{(m)}x - T_t^{(n)}x\right) &\le \limsup_{n,m\to+\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,\hat t]} p\left(\left(T_t^{(m)} - T_t^{(n)}\right)(x - x_k)\right)\\ &\le \sup_{\substack{n,m\in\mathbb{N}\\ t\in[0,\hat t]}} p\left(\left(T_t^{(m)} - T_t^{(n)}\right)(x - x_k)\right), \qquad \forall k \in \mathbb{N},\ \forall p \in \mathscr{P}_X.\end{aligned}$$

The last term goes to 0 as $k \to +\infty$, because of sequential equicontinuity of the family $\{T_t^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N},t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ (Step 2).

Hence, recalling that $\mathscr{D}(\hat A)$ is sequentially dense in X, we have proved that that there exists in X, uniformly for $t \in [0,\hat t]$,

$$\hat T_t x := \lim_{n\to+\infty} T_t^{(n)}x, \ \ \forall x \in X. \tag{3.37}$$

Step 6. We show that the family $\hat T = \{\hat T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup on X. First we notice that, as by Step 5 the limit in (3.37) defining $\hat T_t x$ is uniform for $t \in [0,\hat t]$, for every $\hat t > 0$, then the function $\mathbb{R}^+ \to X$, $t \mapsto \hat T_t x$, is continuous. In particular, $\hat T_t x \to \hat T_0 x$ as $t \to 0^+$ for every $x \in X$. Moreover, $\hat T_0 = I$ as $T_0^{(n)} = I$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The linearity of $\hat T_t$ and the semigroup property come from the same properties holding for every $T_t^{(n)}$. It remains to show that the family $\hat T$ is sequentially equicontinuous. This comes from sequential equicontinuity of the family $\{T_t^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N},t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ (Step 2), and from the estimate

$$p\left(\hat T_t x\right) \le p\left(\hat T_t x - T_t^{(n)}x\right) + p\left(T_t^{(n)}x\right) \le p\left(\hat T_t x - T_t^{(n)}x\right) + \sup_{\substack{t\in\mathbb{R}^+\\ n\in\mathbb{N}}} p\left(T_t^{(n)}x\right) \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

by taking first the limit as $n \to +\infty$ and then the supremum over t.

Step 7. To conclude the proof, we only need to show that the infinitesimal generator of $\hat T$ is $\hat A$. Let $p \in \mathscr{P}_X$ and $x \in \mathscr{D}(\hat A)$. By applying Proposition 3.23 to $T^{(n)}$, we can write

$$\hat T_t x - x = \lim_{n\to+\infty}(T_t^{(n)}x - x) = \lim_{n\to+\infty}\int_0^t T_s^{(n)}\hat A J_{\lambda_n}x\,ds,$$

where the integral on the right-hand side exists because of sequential completeness of X and of continuity of the integrand function, and where the latter equality is obtained, as usual, by pairing the two members of the equality with funtionals $\Lambda \in X^*$ and by using (3.13).

Now we wish to exchange the limit with the integral. This is possible, as, by Step 2, Step 5, and and (3.33), we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} T_t^{(n)} J_{\lambda_n}\hat{A}x = \hat{T}_t\hat{A}x \quad \text{uniformly for } t \text{ over compact sets.}$$

Then

$$\hat{T}_t x - x = \int_0^t \lim_{n\to+\infty} T_s^{(n)}\hat{A}J_{\lambda_n}x\,ds = \int_0^t \hat{T}_s\hat{A}x.$$

Dividing by t and letting $t\to 0^+$, we conclude that $x\in\mathscr{D}(\tilde{A})$, where $\tilde{A}$ is the infinitesimal generator of $\hat{T}$, and that $\tilde{A}=\hat{A}$ on $\mathscr{D}(\hat{A})$. But, by assumption, for some $\lambda_n>0$, the operator $\lambda_n-\hat{A}$ is one-to-one and full-range. By Theorem 3.27, the same thing holds true for $\lambda_n-\tilde{A}$. Then we conclude $\mathscr{D}(\tilde{A})=\mathscr{D}(\hat{A})$ and $\tilde{A}=\hat{A}$. ■

Remark 3.39. *Let X be a Banach space with norm $|\cdot|_X$ and let τ be a sequentially complete locally convex topology on X such that the τ-bounded sets are exactly the $|\cdot|_X$-bounded sets. Then, by Proposition 3.5(i), we have $\mathscr{L}_0((X,\tau))\subset L((X,|\cdot|_X))$. Let $\hat{T}$ be a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup on (X,τ) with infinitesimal generator $\hat{A}$. By referring to the notation of the proof of Theorem 3.38, we make the following observations.*

(1) Since $R(\lambda_n,\hat{A})\in\mathscr{L}_0((X,\tau))\subset L((X,|\cdot|_X))$, then the Yosida approximations $\{T^{(n)}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, approximating $\hat{T}$ according to (3.37), are uniformly continuous semigroups on the Banach space $(X,|\cdot|_X)$.

(2) The fact that $\{(\lambda_n R(\lambda_n,\hat{A}))^m\}_{n,m\in\mathbb{N}}$ is sequentially equicontinuous implies that such a family is uniformly bounded in $L((X,|\cdot|_X))$. Indeed, as the unit ball B in $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ is bounded in (X,τ), by Proposition 3.10(iii) the set $\{(\lambda_n R(\lambda_n,\hat{A}))^m x\}_{n,m\in\mathbb{N},x\in B}$ is bounded in (X,τ). Hence, it is also bounded in $(X,|\cdot|_X)$, as we are assuming that the bounded sets are the same in both the topologies. As a consequence, by recalling the Hille-Yosida theorem for C_0-semigroups in Banach spaces, we have that $\hat{T}$ is also a C_0-semigroup in the Banach space $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ if and only if $D(\hat{A})$ is norm dense in X.

3.7 Relationship with *bi-continuous* semigroups

In this subsection we establish a comparison of our notion of C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup with the notion of *bi-continuous* semigroup developed in [16, 17]. The latter requires to deal with Banach spaces as underlying spaces.

Definition 3.40. *Let $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ be a Banach space and let X^* be its topological dual. A linear subspace $\Gamma\subset X^*$ is called* norming *for $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ if $|x|_X=\sup_{\gamma\in\Gamma,\,|\gamma|_{X^*}\le 1}|\gamma(x)|$, for every $x\in X$.*

Lemma 3.41. *Let $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ be a Banach space and let $\Gamma\subset X^*$ be norming for $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ and closed with respect to the operator norm $|\cdot|_{X^*}$. Then $B\subset X$ is $\sigma(X,\Gamma)$-bounded if and only if it is $|\cdot|_X$-bounded.*

Proof. As $\sigma(X,\Gamma)$ is weaker than the $|\cdot|_X$-topology, clearly $|\cdot|_X$-bounded sets are also $\sigma(X,\Gamma)$-bounded. Conversely, let $B\subset X$ be $\sigma(X,\Gamma)$-bounded and consider the family of continuous functionals

$$\{\Lambda_b:\Gamma\to\mathbb{R},\ \gamma\mapsto\gamma(b)\}_{b\in B},$$

By assumption, $\sup_{b\in B}|\gamma(b)|<+\infty$ for every $\gamma\in\Gamma$. The Banach-Steinhaus theorem applied in the Banach space $(\Gamma,|\cdot|_{X^*})$ yields

$$M:=\sup_{b\in B}\sup_{\gamma\in\Gamma,\,|\gamma|_{X^*}\le 1}|\gamma(b)|<+\infty.$$

Then, since Γ is norming for $(X,|\cdot|_X)$, we have

$$|b|_X = \sup_{\gamma\in\Gamma,\ |\gamma|_{X^*}\le 1} |\gamma(b)| \le M < +\infty \qquad \forall b \in B,$$

and then B is $|\cdot|_X$-bounded. ■

We recall the definition of bi-continuous semigroup as given in [17, Def. 3] and [16, Def. 1.3].

Definition 3.42. *Let $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ be a Banach space with topological dual X^*. Let τ be a Hausdorff locally convex topology on X with the following properties.*

(i) The space (X,τ) is sequentially complete on $|\cdot|_X$-bounded sets.

(ii) τ is weaker than the topology induced by the norm $|\cdot|_X$.

(iii) The topological dual of (X,τ) is norming for $(X,|\cdot|_X)$.

A family of linear operators $T = \{T_t : X \to X\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} \subset L((X,|\cdot|_X))$ is called a bi-continuous semigroup with respect to τ and of type $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ *if the following conditions hold:*

(iv) $T_0 = I$ and $T_tT_s = T_{t+s}$ for every $t,s \in \mathbb{R}^+$;

(v) for some $M \ge 0$, $|T_t|_{L((X,|\cdot|))} \le Me^{\alpha t}$, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$;

(vi) T is strongly τ-continuous, that is the map $\mathbb{R}^+ \to (X,\tau)$, $t \mapsto T_tx$ is continuous for every $x \in X$;

(vii) T is locally bi-continuous, that is, for every $|\cdot|_X$-bounded sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$ τ-convergent to $x \in X$ and every $\hat{t} > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} T_tx_n = T_tx \qquad \text{in } (X,\tau), \text{ uniformly in } t \in [0,\hat{t}].$$

The following proposition shows that the notion of bi-continuous semigroup is a specification of our notion of C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup in sequentially complete spaces. Indeed, given a bi-continuous semigroup on a Banach space $(X,|\cdot|_X)$ with respect to a topology τ, one can define a locally convex sequentially complete topology $\tau' \supset \tau$ and see the bi-continuous semigroup as a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on (X,τ').

Proposition 3.43. *Let $\{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ be a bi-continuous semigroup on X with respect to τ and of type α. Then there exists a locally convex topology τ' with the following properties:*

(i) $\tau \subset \tau'$ and τ' is weaker than the $|\cdot|_X$-topology;

(ii) a sequence converges in τ' if and only if it is $|\cdot|_X$-bounded and convergent in τ;

(iii) (X,τ') is sequentially complete;

(iv) T is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup in (X,τ'); moreover, for every $\lambda > \alpha$, $\{e^{-\lambda t}T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup on (X,τ') satisfying Assumption 3.16.

Proof. Denote by X^* the topological dual of $(X,|\cdot|_X)$, and let $\mathscr{P}_X$ be a set of seminorms on X inducing τ. Denote by Γ the dual of (X,τ). On X, define the seminorms

$$q_{p,\gamma}(x) = p(x) + |\gamma(x)|, \qquad p \in \mathscr{P}_X,\ \gamma \in \overline{\Gamma},$$

where $\overline{\Gamma}$ is the closure of Γ with respect to the norm $|\cdot|_{X^*}$. Let τ' be the locally convex topology induced by the family of seminorms $\{q_{p,\gamma}\}_{p\in\mathscr{P}_X,\gamma\in\overline{\Gamma}}$.

(i) Clearly $\tau\subset\tau'$ and τ' is weaker than the $|\cdot|_X$-topology.

(ii) As $\tau\subset\tau'$, the τ'-convergent sequences are τ-convergent. Moreover, as Γ is norming, $\overline{\Gamma}$ is norming too. Then, by Lemma 3.41, every $\sigma(X,\overline{\Gamma})$-bounded set is $|\cdot|_X$-bounded. In particular, every convergent sequence in τ' is $|\cdot|_X$-bounded.

Conversely, consider a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset X$ which is τ-convergent to 0 in X and $|\cdot|_X$-bounded by a constant $M>0$. To show that $x_n\xrightarrow{\tau'}0$, we only need to show that $\gamma(x_n)\to 0$ for every $\gamma\in\overline{\Gamma}$. For that, notice first that the convergence to 0 with respect to τ implies the convergence $\gamma(x_n)\to 0$ for every $\gamma\in\Gamma$. Take now $\gamma\in\overline{\Gamma}$ and a sequence $\{\gamma_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\Gamma$ converging to γ with respect to $|\cdot|_{X^*}$. Then the estimate

$$|\gamma(x_n-x)|\le M|\gamma-\gamma_k|_{X^*}+|\gamma_k(x_n)|\qquad\forall n,k\in\mathbb{N},$$

yields

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}|\gamma(x_n)|\le M|\gamma-\gamma_k|_{X^*}\qquad\forall k\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Since $\gamma_k\to\gamma$ with respect to $|\cdot|_{X^*}$ when $k\to+\infty$, we now conclude that sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to 0 also with respect to τ'.

(iii) A Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in (X,τ') is τ'-bounded. By Lemma 3.41, it is $|\cdot|_X$-bounded. Clearly, $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is also τ-Cauchy. Then, by Definition 3.42*(i)*, $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ converges to some x in (X,τ). Since the sequence is $|\cdot|_X$-bounded, by *(ii)* the convergence takes place also in τ'. This proves that (X,τ') is sequentially complete.

(iv) We start by proving that $\{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a sequentially locally equicontinuous family of operators in the space (X,τ'). Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence τ'-convergent to 0. By *(ii)*, $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is $|\cdot|_X$-bounded and τ-convergent to 0. By Definition 3.42*(vii)*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\sup_{t\in[0,\hat{t}]}p(T_tx_n)=0,\qquad\forall p\in\mathscr{P}_X,\ \forall\hat{t}>0.\tag{3.38}$$

Assume now, by contradiction, that there exist $R>0$, $p\in\mathscr{P}_X$, $\gamma\in\overline{\Gamma}$, and $\varepsilon>0$, such that

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}\sup_{t\in[0,R]}q_{p,\gamma}(T_tx_n)\ge\varepsilon.$$

Then, due to (3.38), there exist a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset[0,R]$ convergent to some $t\in[0,R]$ and a subsequence of $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, still denoted by $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that

$$|\gamma(T_{t_n}x_n)|\ge\varepsilon\qquad\forall n\in\mathbb{N}.\tag{3.39}$$

By Definition 3.42*(v)*, the family $\{T_t\}_{t\in[0,R]}$ is uniformly bounded in the operator norm. Then, by recalling that $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is $|\cdot|_X$-bounded, we have

$$\hat{M}:=\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}|T_{t_n}x_n|_X<+\infty.$$

Let $\hat{\gamma}\in\Gamma$ be such that $|\hat{\gamma}-\gamma|_{X^*}\le\varepsilon/(2\hat{M})$. Then

$$\limsup_{n\to+\infty}|\gamma(T_{t_n}x_n)|\le\frac{\varepsilon}{2}+\limsup_{n\to+\infty}|\hat{\gamma}(T_{t_n}x_n)|=\frac{\varepsilon}{2},\tag{3.40}$$

where the last equality is due (3.38) and to the fact that $\hat{\gamma}\in\Gamma=(X,\tau)^*$. But (3.40) contradicts (3.39). The fact that T is strongly continuous with respect to τ' follows from *(ii)* and from Definition 3.42*(v)*-*(vi)*.

Finally, by Definition 3.42*(v)* we can apply Proposition 3.15*(i)* and conclude that $\{e^{-\lambda t}T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup on (X,τ') for every $\lambda>\alpha$. Due to part *(iii)*, such a semigroup satisfies Assumption 3.16 (recall Remark 3.17). ■

3.8 A note on a weaker definition

In this subsection we point out how, under weaker requirements in Definition 3.12, some of the results appearing in the previous sections still hold. The definition that we are going to introduce below will not be used in the sequel, except in Subsection 4.3, where we briefly clarify the relationship between the notion of π-semigroup, introduced in [22], and our notion of C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuos semigroup.

Definition 3.44. *Let X be a Hausdorff locally convex space. Let $T := \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} \subset \mathscr{L}_0(X)$ be a family of sequentially continuous linear operators. We say that T is a* bounded C_0-sequentially continuous semigroup *if*

(i) $T_0 = I$ and $T_{t+s} = T_t T_s$ for all $t,s \in \mathbb{R}^+$;

(ii) for each $x \in X$, the map $\mathbb{R}^+ \to X$, $t \mapsto T_t x$, is continuous and bounded.

By recalling Proposition 3.14, we see that Definition 3.12 is stronger than Definition 3.44.

Let T be a bounded C_0-sequentially continuous semigroup on X and let us assume that, for every $x \in X$, the Riemann integral

$$R(\lambda)x := \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t} T_t x\, dt, \tag{3.41}$$

(which exists in the completion of X, by Definition 3.44*(ii)*) belongs to X (this happens, for example, if X is sequentially complete).

Then, a straightforward inspection of the proofs shows that the following results still hold: Proposition 3.15*(ii)*; Proposition 3.18; Proposition 3.19*(ii)*; Proposition 3.21; Proposition 3.23; Theorem 3.27, except for the conclusion $(\lambda - A)^{-1} \in \mathscr{L}_0(X)$; Corollary 3.29.

To summarize, if the Laplace transform (3.41) of a bounded C_0-sequentially continuous semigroup is well-defined, then the domain $\mathscr{D}(A)$ of the generator A is sequentially dense in X and $\lambda - A$ is one-one and onto for every $\lambda > 0$.

We outline that, without the sequential local equicontinuity of T, the proof of Lemma 3.24 does not work, and consequently the proof of Theorem 3.25 does not work.

3.9 Examples and counterexamples

In this subsection we provide some examples to clarify some features of the notion of C_0-sequentially (locally) equicontinuous semigroup.

First, with respect to the case of C_0-semigroups on Banach spaces, we notice two relevant basic implications that we loose when dealing with strong continuity and (sequential) local equicontinuity in locally convex spaces. The first one is related to the growth rate of the orbits of the semigroup, and consequently to the possibility to define the Laplace transform. The fact that T is a C_0-locally (sequentially) equicontinuous semigroup does not imply, in general, the existence of $\alpha > 0$ such that $\{e^{-\alpha t} T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-(sequentially) locally equicontinuous semigroup. We give two examples.

Example 3.45. Consider the vector space $X := C(\mathbb{R})$, endowed with the topology of the uniform convergence on compact sets, which makes X a Fréchet space. Define $T_t : X \to X$ by

$$T_t\varphi(s) := e^{st}\varphi(s) \qquad \forall s \in \mathbb{R},\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,\ \forall \varphi \in X.$$

One verifies that $T = \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on X (actually, locally equicontinuous, by Proposition 3.11). On the other hand, for whatever $\alpha > 0$, the family $\{e^{-\alpha t} T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is not sequentially equicontinuous. Indeed, one has that $\{e^{-\alpha t} T_t f\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is unbounded in X for every f not identically zero on $(\alpha, +\infty)$.

Example 3.46. Another classical example is given in [15]. Let X be as in Example 3.45, with the same topology. For $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, we define $T := \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ by

$$T_t : X \to X,\ \varphi \mapsto \varphi(t+\cdot).$$

Then T is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on X (equivalently, T is a C_0-locally equicontinuous semigroup, by Proposition 3.11), but there does not exist any $\alpha > 0$ such that $\{e^{-\alpha t}T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is equicontinuous.

The second relevant difference with respect to C_0-semigroups in Banach spaces is that the strong continuity does not imply, in general, the sequential local equicontinuity. The following example shows that Definition 3.12*(iii')* in general cannot be derived by Definition 3.12*(i)*-*(ii)*, even if Definition 3.12*(ii)* is strengthened by requiring the continuity of $\mathbb{R}^+ \to X,\ t \mapsto T_t x,\ x \in X$.

Example 3.47. Let $X := C(\mathbb{R})$ be endowed with the topology of the pointwise convergence. Define the semigroup $T := \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ by

$$T_t : X \to X,\ \varphi \mapsto \varphi(t+\cdot).$$

Then $T_t \in \mathscr{L}_0(X)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. It is clear that, for every $\varphi \in C(\mathbb{R})$, the map $\mathbb{R}^+ \to X,\ t \mapsto T_t\varphi$, is continuous. Nevertheless, for each $\hat{t} > 0$ we can find a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C(\mathbb{R})$ of functions converging pointwise to 0 and such that

$$\liminf_{n\to+\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,\hat{t}]} |(T_t\varphi_n)(0)| = \liminf_{n\to+\infty} \sup_{t\in[0,\hat{t}]} |\varphi_n(t)| > 0.$$

Hence, T is not a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup. We observe that the same conclusion holds true if we restrict the action of T to the space $C_b(\mathbb{R})$.

Referring to Remark 3.13*(2)*, we provide the following example([5]).

Example 3.48. Consider the Banach space ℓ^1, with its usual norm $|\mathbf{x}|_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} |x_k|$, where $\mathbf{x} := \{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \in \ell^1$, and denote by τ_1 and τ_w the $|\cdot|_1$-topology and the weak topology respectively. Define $Z := \ell^1 \times \ell^1$ and endow it with the product topology $\tau_w \otimes \tau_1$. Let

$$B : Z \to Z,\ (x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1, x_1).$$

We recall that ℓ^1 enjoys Schur's property (weak convergent sequences are strong convergent; see [8, p. 85]). As a consequence, we have that Z is sequentially complete and $B \in \mathscr{L}_0(Z)$. On the other hand, as τ_w is strictly weaker than τ_1, we have $B \notin L(Z)$. By induction, we see that $(I-B)^n = (I-B)$ for each $n \geq 1$, and then $\{(I-B)^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a family of sequentially equicontinuous operators. By Proposition 3.37, if we define $T_t := e^{t(B-I)}$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then $T := \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup on Z. Actually, we have $e^{t(B-I)} = e^{-t}(I-B) + B$. However, if $t > 0$, the operators $e^{t(B-I)} = e^{-t}I + (1-e^{-t})B$ are not continuous on Z.

4 Developments in functional spaces

The aim of this section is to develop the theory of the previous section in some specific functional spaces. Throughout the rest of the paper, E will denote a metric space, $\mathscr{E}$ will denote the associated Borel σ-algebra, and $\mathscr{S}(E)$ will denote one of the spaces $UC_b(E)$, $C_b(E)$, $B_b(E)$. We recall that $(\mathscr{S}(E), |\cdot|_\infty)$, where $|\cdot|_\infty$ is the usual sup-norm, is a Banach space. For simplicity of notation, we denote by $\mathscr{S}(E)^*_\infty$ the dual of $(\mathscr{S}(E), |\cdot|_\infty)$ and by $|\cdot|_{\mathscr{S}(E)^*_\infty}$ the operator norm in $\mathscr{S}(E)^*_\infty$.

[5] Example 3.48 could seem a bit artificious and *ad hoc*. In the next section we will provide another more meaningful example by a very simple Markov transition semigroup (Example 5.5).

We are going to define on $\mathscr{S}(E)$ two particular locally convex topologies. The motivation for introducing such topologies is that they allow to frame under a general unified viewpoint some of the approaches used in the literature of Markov transition semigroups. In particular, we are able to cover the following types of semigroups.

1. Weakly continuous semigroups, introduced in [2] for the space $UC_b(E)$ with E separable Hilbert space (an overview can also be found in [3, Appendix B], with E separable Banach space).

2. π-semigroups, introduced in [22] for the space $UC_b(E)$, with E separable metric space.

3. C_0-locally equicontinuous semigroups with respect to the so called mixed topology in the space $C_b(E)$, considered by [10], with E separable Hilbert space.

4.1 A family of locally convex topologies on $\mathscr{S}(E)$

Let $\mathbf{P}$ be a set of non-empty parts of E such that $E = \bigcup_{P\in\mathbf{P}} P$. For every $P \in \mathbf{P}$ and every $\mu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$, let us introduce the seminorm

$$p_{P,\mu}(f) := [f]_P + \left|\int_E f\,d\mu\right|, \quad \forall f \in \mathscr{S}(E), \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$[f]_P := \sup_{x\in P} |f(x)|.$$

Denote by $\tau_{\mathbf{P}}$ the locally convex topology on $\mathscr{S}(E)$ induced by the family of seminorms

$$\{p_{P,\mu} \colon P \in \mathbf{P},\ \mu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)\}.$$

Since $E = \bigcup_{P\in\mathbf{P}} P$, $\tau_{\mathbf{P}}$ is Hausdorff.

In the following, by $\mathbf{ba}(E)$ we denote the space of finitely additive signed measures on $(E,\mathscr{E})$ with bounded total variation. The space $\mathbf{ba}(E)$ is Banach when endowed with the norm $|\cdot|_1$ given by the total variation and is canonically identified with $(B_b(E)^*_\infty, |\cdot|_{B_b(E)^*_\infty})$ (see [1, Theorem 14.4]) through the isometry

$$\Phi \colon (\mathbf{ba}(E), |\cdot|_1) \to (B_b(E)^*_\infty, |\cdot|_{B_b(E)^*_\infty}),\ \mu \mapsto \Phi_\mu, \tag{4.2}$$

where

$$\Phi_\mu(f) := \int_E f\,d\mu \qquad \forall f \in B_b(E), \tag{4.3}$$

with $\int_E \#\,d\mu$ interpreted in the Darboux sense (see [1, Sec. 11.2]).

We denote by $\mathbf{ca}(E)$ the space of elements of $\mathbf{ba}(E)$ that are countably additive. The space $(\mathbf{ca}(E), |\cdot|_1)$ is Banach as well. If $\mu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$, then the Darboux integral in (4.3) coincides with the Lebesgue integral.

For future reference, we recall the following result (see [20, Th. 5.9, p. 39]).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\nu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$ be such that $\int_E f\,d\nu = 0$ for all $f \in UC_b(E)$. Then $\nu = 0$.*

Proposition 4.2. *The space $(\mathbf{ca}(E), |\cdot|_1)$ is isometrically embedded into $(\mathscr{S}(E)^*_\infty, |\cdot|_{\mathscr{S}(E)^*_\infty})$ by*

$$\Phi \colon \mathbf{ca}(E) \to \mathscr{S}(E)^*_\infty,\ \mu \mapsto \Phi_\mu, \tag{4.4}$$

where

$$\Phi_\mu(f) := \int_E f\,d\mu, \qquad \forall f \in \mathscr{S}(E). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. It is clear that Φ is linear.

Let $\mu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$. As $|\Phi_\mu(f)| \le |f|_\infty |\mu|_1$ for every $f \in \mathscr{S}(E)$, then $\Phi_\mu \in \mathscr{S}(E)^*$ and $|\Phi_\mu|_{\mathscr{S}(E)^*} \le |\mu|_1$. To show that Φ is an isometry it remains to show that $|\Phi_\mu|_{\mathscr{S}(E)^*} \ge |\mu|_1$. Let $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ be the Jordan decomposition of μ, and let $C^+ := \mathrm{supp}(\mu^+)$, $C^- := \mathrm{supp}(\mu^-)$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then we can find a closed set $C^+_\varepsilon \subset C^+$ such that $\mu^+(C^+ \setminus C^+_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$, and $d(C^+_\varepsilon, C^-) > 0$. Let f be defined by

$$f(x) := \frac{d(x,C^-) - d(x,C^+_\varepsilon)}{d(x,C^-) + d(x,C^+_\varepsilon)} \qquad \forall x \in E.$$

Then $f \in UC_b(E)$, $f \equiv 1$ on C^+_ε, $f \equiv -1$ on C^-, and $|f|_\infty = 1$. Therefore,

$$\int_E f\,d\mu = \int_{C^+_\varepsilon} f\,d\mu^+ + \int_{C^+\setminus C^+_\varepsilon} f\,d\mu^+ - \int_{C^-} f\,d\mu^- \ge \mu^+(C^+_\varepsilon) - \varepsilon + \mu^-(C^-) \ge |\mu|_1 - 2\varepsilon.$$

Then $|\Phi_\mu|_{\mathscr{S}(E)^*_\infty} \ge |\mu|_1 - 2\varepsilon$. We conclude by arbitrariness of ε. ■

Let us denote by τ_∞ the topology induced by the norm $|\cdot|_\infty$ on $\mathscr{S}(E)$. Since the functional Φ_μ defined in (4.5) is $\tau_{\mathbf{P}}$-continuous for every $\mu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$, and since $p_{P,\mu}$ is τ_∞-continuous for every $P \in \mathbf{P}$ and every $\mu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$, we have the inclusions

$$\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E), \mathbf{ca}(E)) \subset \tau_{\mathbf{P}} \subset \tau_\infty. \tag{4.6}$$

Observe that, when $\mathbf{P}$ contains only finite parts of E, then $\tau_{\mathbf{P}} = \sigma(\mathscr{S}(E), \mathbf{ca}(E))$, because $\mathbf{ca}(E)$ contains all Dirac measures. The opposite case is when $E \in \mathbf{P}$, and then $\tau_{\mathbf{P}} = \tau_\infty$.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $B \subset \mathscr{S}(E)$. The following are equivalent.*

(i) B is $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E), \mathbf{ca}(E))$-bounded.

(ii) B is $\tau_{\mathbf{P}}$-bounded.

(iii) B is τ_∞-bounded.

Proof. By (4.6), it is sufficient to prove that *(i)⇒(iii)*. Let B be $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E), \mathbf{ca}(E))$-bounded. By Proposition 4.2, $\mathbf{ca}(E)$ is closed in $\mathscr{S}(E)^*_\infty$. Moreover, since $\mathbf{ca}(E)$ contains the Dirac measures, it is norming. Then we conclude by applying Lemma 3.41. ■

Corollary 4.4. $\mathscr{L}_0((\mathscr{S}(E), \tau_{\mathbf{P}})) \subset L((\mathscr{S}(E), |\cdot|_\infty))$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.3, the bounded sets of $\tau_{\mathbf{P}}$ are exactly the bounded sets of τ_∞. Then, we conclude by applying Proposition 3.5*(i)*. ■

Corollary 4.5. *Let T be a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on $(\mathscr{S}(E), \tau_{\mathbf{P}})$. Then there exists $M \ge 1$ and $\alpha > 0$ such that $|T_t|_{L((\mathscr{S}(E),|\cdot|_\infty))} \le Me^{\alpha t}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.*

Proof. Due to Proposition 4.3, we can conclude by applying Proposition 3.15*(ii)*. ■

We now focus on the following two cases:

(a) $\mathbf{P}$ is the set of all finite subsets of E, and then $\tau_{\mathbf{P}} = \sigma(\mathscr{S}(E), \mathbf{ca}(E))$;

(b) $\mathbf{P}$ is the set of all non-empty compact subsets of E; in this case, we denote $\tau_{\mathbf{P}}$ by $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$, that is

$$\tau_{\mathscr{K}} := \text{l.c. topology on } \mathscr{S}(E) \text{ generated by } \{p_{K,\mu} : K \subset E \text{ compact}, \ \mu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)\}. \tag{4.7}$$

Proposition 4.6. *We have the following characterizations.*

(i) $\tau_{\mathscr{K}} = \tau_\infty$ *if and only if* E *is compact.*

(ii) $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E), \mathbf{ca}(E)) = \tau_\infty$ *if and only if* E *is finite.*

Proof. First, note that the inclusions $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E), \mathbf{ca}(E)) \subset \tau_\infty$ and $\tau_{\mathscr{K}} \subset \tau_\infty$ have been already observed in (4.6).

(i) If E is compact, we have $|\cdot|_\infty = p_{E,0}$, hence $\tau_{\mathscr{K}} = \tau_\infty$. Conversely, assume that $\tau_{\mathscr{K}} = \tau_\infty$ on $\mathscr{S}(E)$. Then there exist a non-empty compact set $K \subset E$, measures $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$, and $L > 0$, such that

$$|f|_\infty \le L\left([f]_K + \sum_{i=1}^n \left|\int_E f\, d\mu_i\right|\right), \qquad \forall f \in \mathscr{S}(E). \tag{4.8}$$

For $\varepsilon > 0$, define $A_\varepsilon := \{x \in E : B(x,\varepsilon) \subset K^c\}$, and define, with the convention $d(\cdot, \emptyset) = +\infty$, the function $r_\varepsilon(x) := \frac{d(x,K)}{d(x,A_\varepsilon)+d(x,K)}$. Then $0 \le r_\varepsilon \le 1$, $r_\varepsilon = 0$ on K, $r_\varepsilon = 1$ on A_ε, $r_\varepsilon \uparrow \mathbf{1}_{K^c}$ pointwise as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, and r_ε is uniformly continuous (the latter is due to the fact that $d(A_\varepsilon, K) \ge \varepsilon$). Hence, for every $f \in \mathscr{S}(E)$, the function $f r_\varepsilon$ belongs to $\mathscr{S}(E)$ and $|f r_\varepsilon| \uparrow |f \mathbf{1}_{K^c}|$ pointwise as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, which entails $|f r_\varepsilon|_\infty \uparrow |f \mathbf{1}_{K^c}|_\infty$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$. We can then apply (4.8) to every $f r_\varepsilon$ and pass to the limit for $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ to obtain

$$|f \mathbf{1}_{K^c}|_\infty \le L \sum_{i=1}^n \left|\int_E f\, d(\mu_i \lfloor K^c)\right|, \qquad \forall f \in \mathscr{S}(E),$$

where $\mu_i \lfloor K^c$ denotes the restriction of μ_i to K^c. Let $\nu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$ be such that $|\nu|(K) = 0$. Then

$$\left|\int_E f\, d\nu\right| = \left|\int_E f \mathbf{1}_{K^c}\, d\nu\right| \le |\nu|_1 |f \mathbf{1}_{K^c}|_\infty \le |\nu|_1 L \sum_{i=1}^n \left|\int_E f\, d(\mu_i \lfloor K^c)\right|, \qquad \forall f \in \mathscr{S}(E).$$

Then, by [23, Lemma 3.9, p. 63] and by Proposition 4.2, there exist $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nu = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i (\mu_i \lfloor K^c)$. By arbitrariness of ν this implies that $E \setminus K$ is finite, and then E is compact.

(ii) If E is finite, clearly $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E), \mathbf{ca}(E)) = \tau_\infty$. Conversely, assume that $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E), \mathbf{ca}(E)) = \tau_\infty$. Then there exist $K \subset E$ compact, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$, and $L > 0$ such that

$$|f|_\infty \le L \sum_{i=1}^n \left|\int_E f\, d\mu_i\right|, \qquad \forall f \in \mathscr{S}(E). \tag{4.9}$$

By arguing as for concluding the proof of *(i)*, we obtain

$$\mathbf{ca}(E) = \mathrm{Span}\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\},$$

and then E must be finite. ∎

We recall the following definition.

Definition 4.7. *A locally convex topological vector space is said to be* infrabarreled *if every closed, convex, balanced set, absorbing every bounded set, is a neighborhood of* 0.

Corollary 4.8. *We have the following characterizations.*

(i) $(\mathscr{S}(E), \sigma(\mathscr{S}(E), \mathbf{ca}(E)))$ *is infrabarrelled if and only if* E *is finite.*

(ii) $(\mathscr{S}(E), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ *is infrabarrelled if and only if* E *is compact.*

Proof. If E is finite (*resp.* E is compact), then, by Proposition 4.6, $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E))$ (*resp.* $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$) coincides with the topology τ_∞ of the Banach space $(\mathscr{S}(E),|\cdot|_\infty)$, and then it is infrabarreled, because every Banach space is so (see [19, Theorem 4.5, p. 97]).

Conversely, let E be not finite (*resp.* not compact) and consider the $|\cdot|_\infty$-closed ball

$$B_\infty(0,1] := \{f \in \mathscr{S}(E) \colon\ |f|_\infty \le 1\}.$$

The set $B_\infty(0,1]$ is convex, balanced, absorbent. Moreover,

$$B_\infty(0,1] = \bigcap_{x\in E} \left\{ f \in \mathscr{S}(E) \colon \left| \int_E f\, d\delta_x \right| \le 1 \right\},$$

where $\delta_x \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$ is the Dirac measure centered in x. Hence $B_\infty(0,1]$ is $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E))$-closed (and then $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$-closed). So $B_\infty(0,1]$ is a barrel for the topology $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E))$ (*resp.* $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$). Moreover, by Proposition 4.3, it absorbs every $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E))$- (*resp.* $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$-) bounded set. Assuming now, by contradiction, that $(\mathscr{S}(E),\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E)))$ (*resp.* $(\mathscr{S}(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$) is infrabarreled, we would have that $B_\infty(0,1]$ is a $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E))$-neighborhood (*resp.* $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$-neighborhood) of the origin. This would contradict Proposition 4.6. ∎

Remark 4.9. *Corollary 4.8 has an important consequence. If E is not finite (*resp. *not compact), then $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E))$ (*resp. *$(\mathscr{S}(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$) is not infrabarreled, so the Banach-Steinhaus theorem cannot be invoked to deduce that strongly continuous semigroups in $(\mathscr{S}(E),\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E)))$ (*resp. *$(\mathscr{S}(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$) are necessarily locally equicontinuous — as it is usually done for C_0-semigroups in Banach spaces (cf. also Example 3.47).*

We now investigate the relationship between $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ and $\tau_{\mathscr{C}}$, where $\tau_{\mathscr{C}}$ denotes the topology on $\mathscr{S}(E)$ defined by the uniform convergence on compact sets of E, induced by the family of seminorms

$$\{p_K = [\cdot]_K \colon\ K \text{ non-empty compact subset of } E\}.$$

Clearly $\tau_{\mathscr{C}} \subset \tau_{\mathscr{K}}$. In order to understand when the equality $\tau_{\mathscr{C}} = \tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ is possible, we proceed with two preparatory lemmas.

Lemma 4.10. *$UC_b(E) \neq C_b(E)$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{(x_n,y_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset E\times E$ having the following properties.*

(i) *$\{d(x_n,y_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a strictly positive sequence, converging to 0;*

(ii) *the sequence $\{d_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ defined by $d_n := d\left(\{x_n,y_n\}, \bigcup_{k>n}\{x_k,y_k\}\right)$, for $n\in\mathbb{N}$, is strictly positive;*

(iii) *the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ does not have any convergent subsequence.*

Proof. We first prove that, if $UC_b(E) \neq C_b(E)$, then there exists a sequence satisfying *(i)*,*(ii)*,*(iii)*. Let $f \in C_b(E)\setminus UC_b(E)$. Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $\{(x_n,y_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset E\times E$ such that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} d(x_n,y_n) = 0$ and $\inf_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |f(x_n)-f(y_n)| \ge \varepsilon$. Then *(i)* is satisfied by $\{(x_n,y_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Now we show that *(ii)* holds. Assume, by contradiction, that $d_{\hat n} = 0$ for some $\hat n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $d\left(z, \bigcup_{k>\hat n}\{x_k,y_k\}\right) = 0$ for $z = x_{\hat n}$ or $z = y_{\hat n}$. Therefore z is an accumulation point for $\bigcup_{k>\hat n}\{x_k,y_k\}$. Hence, as $d(x_n,y_n)\to 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{(x_{n_k},y_{n_k})\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_{n_k}\to z$ and $y_{n_k}\to z$ as $k\to+\infty$. Now, as f is continuous, we have the contradiction $f(z)-f(z) = \lim_{k\to+\infty}|f(x_{n_k})-f(y_{n_k})| \ge \varepsilon$. Finally, property *(iii)* can be proved by using the same argument as for proving *(ii)*.

Conversely, take a sequence $\{(x_n,y_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset E\times E$ satisfying *(i)*,*(ii)*,*(iii)*. Consider the balls

$$B_n := \{x \colon d(x_n,x) < \varepsilon_n\}, \quad n\in\mathbb{N}, \tag{4.10}$$

where $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is recursively defined by

$$\begin{cases} \varepsilon_0 := \dfrac{d_0 \wedge d(x_0, y_0)}{2} \\ \varepsilon_n := \dfrac{d_n \wedge d(x_n, y_n) \wedge \varepsilon_{n-1}}{2} \end{cases} \quad n \geq 1.$$

By the properties *(i)*,*(ii)*, the balls $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are pairwise disjoint and $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \varepsilon_n = 0$. It is also clear that $y_n \notin B_n$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we can construct a uniformly continuous function ρ_n such that $0 \leq \rho_n \leq 1$, $\rho_n(x_n) = 1$, and $\rho_n = 0$ on B_n^c. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the function $f_n := \sum_{i=0}^{n} \rho_i$ is uniformly continuous. Let $f := \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} \rho_i$. By *(iii)* and since $\varepsilon_n \to 0$, one can show that every converging sequence in E can intersect only a finite number of the pairwise disjoint balls $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Hence, any compact set $K \subset E$ intersects only a finite number of balls $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Then f restricted to any compact set $K \subset E$ is actually a finite sum of the form $\sum_{i=1}^{n_K} \rho_i$, that is, it coincides with f_{n_K}, for some $n_K \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on K. In particular, $f \in C_b(E)$. On the other hand, $f(x_n) - f(y_n) = 1$ and $d(x_n, y_n) \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$, so $f \notin UC_b(E)$. ■

Lemma 4.11. *If E is not complete, then $UC_b(E) \neq C_b(E)$.*

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a non-convergent Cauchy sequence in E and define $y_n := x_{2n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We now show that, up to extract a subsequence, the sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ satisfies *(i)*,*(ii)*,*(iii)* of Lemma 4.10.

We prove property *(i)*. As $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy and non-convergent, up to extract a subsequence, we can assume that $x_n \neq x_k$, if $n \neq k$, hence $d(x_n, y_n) > 0$. On the other hand, since $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy, we have $\lim_{n\to+\infty} d(x_n, y_n) = 0$.

We prove property *(ii)*. Let $\{d_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be defined as in Lemma 4.10*(ii)*. Assume, by contradiction, that $d_{\overline{n}} = 0$ for some $\overline{n} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $z = x_{\overline{n}}$ or $z = y_{\overline{n}}$ should be an accumulation point for the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ or for the sequence $\{y_n = x_{2n}\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, which cannot be true by assumption on $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Finally, property *(iii)* is clear from the fact that $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy and non-convergent. ■

Proposition 4.12. *$\tau_{\mathscr{K}} = \tau_{\mathscr{C}}$ on $\mathscr{S}(E)$ if and only if E is compact.*

Proof. If E is compact, it is clear that $\tau_{\mathscr{K}} = \tau_{\mathscr{C}}$. Suppose now that E is not compact. We recall that E is not compact if and only if E is not complete or E is not totally bounded. In both cases, we will show that there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset UC_b(E)$ convergent to 0 in $\tau_{\mathscr{C}}$, but unbounded in $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$.

Case E non-complete. By Lemma 4.11, there exists a sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset E \times E$ satisfying *(i)*,*(ii)*,*(iii)* of Lemma 4.10. Let $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\rho_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be as in the second part of the proof of Lemma 4.10. Define $\varphi_n := 2^{2n}\rho_n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. As proved in that lemma, any compact set $K \subset E$ intersects only a finite numbers of balls $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, therefore $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \varphi_n = 0$ in $(UC_b(E), \tau_{\mathscr{C}})$.

Now, let $\mu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$ be defined by $\mu := \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{-n}\delta_{x_n}$. We have

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \left| \int_E \varphi_n \, d\mu \right| = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} 2^{-n}\varphi_n(x_n) = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} 2^n = +\infty,$$

which shows that $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$-unbounded.

Case E not totally bounded. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that E cannot be covered by a finite number of balls of radius ε. By induction, we can construct a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset E$ such that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_{n+1} \notin \bigcup_{j=0}^{n} B(x_j, \varepsilon)$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\varphi_n \in UC_b(E)$ be such that $\varphi_n(x_n) = 2^{2n}$, $\varphi_n(x) = 0$ if $d(x, x_n) \geq \varepsilon/2$, $|\varphi_n|_\infty = 2^{2n}$ ([6]). Then we conclude as in the previous case. ■

[6] For instance, $\varphi_n(x) := 2^{2n} \frac{d(x, B(x_n, \varepsilon/2)^c)}{d(x, x_n) + d(x, B(x_n, \varepsilon/2)^c)}$.

Propositions 4.6 and 4.12 yield the following inclusions of topologies in the space $\mathscr{S}(E)$

$$\tau_{\mathscr{C}} \subset \tau_{\mathscr{K}} \subset \tau_{\infty}$$

and state that such inclusions are equalities if and only if E is compact. The following proposition makes clearer the connection between $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ and $\tau_{\mathscr{C}}$ when E is not compact.

Proposition 4.13. *The following statements hold.*

(i) If a net $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ is bounded and convergent to f in $(\mathscr{S}(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$, then

$$\sup_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}|f_\iota|_\infty<+\infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_\iota f_\iota = f \textit{ in } (\mathscr{S}(E),\tau_{\mathscr{C}}).$$

If either $\mathscr{I}=\mathbb{N}$ or E is homeomorphic to a Borel subset of a Polish space, then also the converse holds true.

(ii) If a net $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ is bounded and Cauchy in $(\mathscr{S}(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$, then

$$\sup_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}|f_\iota|_\infty<+\infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \{f_\iota\}_\iota \textit{ is Cauchy in } (\mathscr{S}(E),\tau_{\mathscr{C}}).$$

If either $\mathscr{I}=\mathbb{N}$ or E is homeomorphic to a Borel subset of a Polish space, then also the converse holds true.

Proof. *(i)* Let $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ be a $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$-bounded net converging to f in $(\mathscr{S}(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$. By Proposition 4.3 we have $\sup_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}|f_\iota|_\infty<+\infty$, and, since $\tau_{\mathscr{C}}\subset\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$, the net converges to f also with respect to $\tau_{\mathscr{C}}$.

Conversely, let $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}\subset\mathscr{S}(E)$ be such that $\sup_\iota|f_\iota|_\infty=M<+\infty$ and $\lim_\iota f_\iota=f$ in $(\mathscr{S}(K),\tau_{\mathscr{C}})$. Then $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ is $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$-bounded, because $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}\subset\tau_\infty$. We want to prove that $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ is $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$-convergent to f if $\mathscr{I}=\mathbb{N}$ or if E homeomorphic to a Borel subset of a Polish space. Assume without loss of generality $f=0$. We already know that $[f_\iota]_K$ converges to 0 for every compact set $K\subset E$, then it remains to show that $\int_E f_\iota d\mu$ converges to 0 for every $\mu\in\mathbf{ca}(E)$. If $\mathscr{I}=\mathbb{N}$, this follows by dominated convergence theorem, because $\sup_\iota|f_\iota|_\infty<+\infty$. If E is homeomorphic to a Borel subset of a Polish space, then $|\mu|$ is tight (see [20, p. 29, Theorem 3.2]), so, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $K_\varepsilon\subset E$ compact such that $|\mu|(K_\varepsilon^c)<\varepsilon$. Let $\bar\iota\in\mathscr{I}$ be such that $\iota\geq\bar\iota$ implies $\sup_{\iota\geq\bar\iota}[f_\iota]_{K_\varepsilon}<\varepsilon$ (this is possible by uniform convergence of $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ to 0 on compact sets). Then

$$\left|\int_E f_\iota d\mu\right|\leq\int_E|f_\iota|d|\mu|\leq[f_\iota]_{K_\varepsilon}|\mu|_1+\int_{K_\varepsilon^c}|f_\iota|d|\mu|\leq|\mu|_1\sup_{\iota\geq\bar\iota}[f_\iota]_{K_\varepsilon}+|f_\iota|_\infty|\mu|(K_\varepsilon^c)\leq(|\mu|_1+M)\varepsilon,\quad\forall\iota\geq\bar\iota,$$

and we conclude by arbitrariness of ε.

(ii) The proof is analogous to that of *(i)*. ∎

We have a similar proposition relating $\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E))$ and the pointwise convergence in $\mathscr{S}(E)$. Actually, a part of this proposition is implicitly provided by [22, Theorem 2.2], where the separability of E and the choice $\mathscr{S}(E)=UC_b(E)$ play no role.

Proposition 4.14. *The following statements hold.*

(i) If a net $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ is bounded and convergent to f in $(\mathscr{S}(E),\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E)))$, then

$$\sup_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}|f_\iota|_\infty<+\infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_\iota f_\iota = f \textit{ pointwise}.$$

If $\mathscr{I}=\mathbb{N}$ then also the converse holds true.

(ii) If a net $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{J}}$ is bounded and Cauchy in $(\mathscr{S}(E),\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E)))$, then

$$\sup_{\iota\in\mathscr{J}}|f_\iota|_\infty<+\infty \quad \textit{and} \quad \{f_\iota(x)\}_\iota \textit{ is Cauchy for every } x\in E.$$

If $\mathscr{J}=\mathbb{N}$ then also the converse holds true.

Proof. *(i)* Let $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{J}}$ be a bounded net in $(\mathscr{S}(E),\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E)))$, converging to f in this space. By Proposition 4.3 we have $\sup_{\iota\in\mathscr{J}}|f_\iota|_\infty<+\infty$, and, since $\mathbf{ca}(E)$ contains the Dirac measures, the net converges to f also pointwise. Conversely, let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset\mathscr{S}(E)$ be such that $\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}|f_n|_\infty=M<+\infty$ and $\lim_{n\to+\infty}f_n=f$ pointwise. Then an application of Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem provides $\lim_{n\to+\infty}f_n=f$ in $(\mathscr{S}(E),\sigma(\mathscr{S}(E),\mathbf{ca}(E)))$.

(ii) The proof is analogous to that of *(i)*. ■

Proposition 4.15. *The following statements hold.*

(i) $(B_b(E),\sigma(B_b(E),\mathbf{ca}(E)))$ *and* $(B_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ *are sequentially complete.*

(ii) $C_b(E)$ *is* $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$*-closed in* $B_b(E)$ *(hence, by (i),* $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ *is sequentially complete).*

(iii) If E is homeomorphic to a Borel subset of a Polish space, then $UC_b(E)$ is dense in $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$.

(iv) $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ *is sequentially complete if and only if* $UC_b(E)=C_b(E)$.

(v) $(\mathscr{S}(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ *is metrizable if and only if E is compact.*

Proof. *(i)* Let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$-Cauchy in $B_b(E)$. Then, as every Cauchy sequence is bounded, by Proposition 4.13*(ii)*, the sequence is τ_∞-bounded. Then its pointwise limit f (that clearly exists) belongs to $B_b(E)$. By Proposition 4.13*(ii)*, the convergence is uniform on every compact subset of E. Then Proposition 4.13*(i)* implies that $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$-convergent to f. This shows that $(B_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ is sequentially complete.

By using Proposition 4.14, a similar argument shows that also $(B_b(E),\sigma(B_b(E),\mathbf{ca}(E)))$ is sequentially complete.

(ii) Let $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{J}}\subset C_b(E)$ be a net $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$-converging to f in $B_b(E)$. In particular, the convergence is uniform on compact sets, hence $f\in C_b(E)$.

(iii) Let $f\in C_b(E)$, let K be a compact subset of E, let $\mu_1,\dots,\mu_n\in\mathbf{ca}(E)$, and let $\varepsilon>0$. We show that there exists $g\in UC_b(E)$ such that $\max_{i=1,\dots,n}p_{K,\mu_i}(f-g)\le\varepsilon$. This will prove the density of $UC_b(E)$ in $C_b(E)$ with respect to $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$. Since E is homeomorphic to a Borel subset of a Polish space, the finite family $|\mu_1|,\dots,|\mu_n|$ is tight (see [20, Theorem 3.2, p. 29]). Hence, there exists a compact set K_ε such that $\max_{i=1,\dots,n}|\mu_i|(K_\varepsilon^c)<\frac{\varepsilon}{2(1+|f|_\infty)}$. Let $g\in UC_b(E)$ be a uniformly continuous extension of $f_{|K\cup K_\varepsilon}$ such that $|g|_\infty\le|f|_\infty$. Then

$$\max_{i=1,\dots,n}p_{K,\mu_i}(f-g)\le\ [f-g]_K+\max_{i=1,\dots,n}\int_E|f-g|d|\mu_i|\le 2|f|_\infty\max_{i=1,\dots,n}|\mu_i|(K_\varepsilon^c)\le\ \varepsilon.$$

(iv) If $UC_b(E)=C_b(E)$, then the sequential completeness of $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ follows from *(ii)* of the present proposition.

Suppose that $UC_b(E)\neq C_b(E)$. Let $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\subset UC_b(E)$, and $f\in C_b(E)\setminus UC_b(E)$ be as in the second part of the proof of Lemma 4.10. To show that $UC_b(E)$ is not sequentially complete, we will show that $\lim_{n\to+\infty}f_n=f$ in $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$. Let $K\subset E$ be compact and $\mu\in\mathbf{ca}(E)$. As observed in

the proof of Lemma 4.10, $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n_K} \rho_i$ on K, for some $n_K \in \mathbb{N}$ depending on K, and then $[f - f_n]_K = 0$ for every $n \geq n_K$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\limsup_{n\to+\infty} p_{K,\mu}(f - f_n) &= \limsup_{n\to+\infty} p_{K,\mu}\left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{+\infty} \rho_i\right) = \limsup_{n\to+\infty} \left|\int_E \left(\sum_{i=n+1}^{+\infty} \rho_i\right) d\mu\right| \\ &\leq \lim_{n\to+\infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{+\infty} \int_E \rho_i d|\mu| \leq \lim_{n\to+\infty} \sum_{i=n+1}^{+\infty} |\mu|(B_i) \\ &= \lim_{n\to+\infty} |\mu|\left(\bigcup_{i\geq n+1} B_i\right) = |\mu|\left(\bigcap_{n\geq 1} \bigcup_{i\geq n+1} B_i\right).\end{aligned}$$

As the balls $\{B_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ are pairwise disjoint, we have $\bigcap_{n\geq 1}\bigcup_{i\geq n} B_i = \emptyset$. Hence, the last term in the inequality above is 0 and we conclude.

(v) If E is compact, then Proposition 4.6 yields $\tau_{\mathscr{K}} = \tau_\infty$, hence $(\mathscr{S}(E), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ is metrizable.

If E is not compact, in order to prove that $(\mathscr{S}(E), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ is not metrizable, it will be sufficient to prove that every $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$-neighborhood of 0 contains a non-degenerate vector space. Indeed, in such a case, if $\hat{d}$ was a metric inducing $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$, there would exist a sequence $\{x_n\}_{\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that $\lim_{n\to+\infty} \hat{d}(x_n, 0) = 0$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} |x_n|_\infty = +\infty$. But then $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ would converge to 0 in $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$, and then the sequence would be $|\cdot|_\infty$-bounded, by Proposition 4.13*(i)*, providing the contradiction.

To show that every neighborhood of 0 in $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ contains a non-degenerate vector space, let $K \subset E$ be compact, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$, $\varepsilon > 0$, and consider the neighborhood

$$\mathscr{I} := \{f \in \mathscr{S}(E) : \ p_{K,\mu_i}(f) < \varepsilon, \ \forall i = 1, \dots, m\}.$$

Since E is not compact, by Lemma 4.11, $UC_b(E) \neq C_b(E)$. Hence, we can construct the sequence $\{\rho_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset UC_b(E) \subset \mathscr{S}(E)$ as in the second part of the proof of Lemma 4.10. This is a sequence of linearly independent functions. Setting

$$Z_K := \{f \in UC_b(E) : f(x) = 0, \ \forall x \in K\},$$

we have $\rho_n \in Z_K$ for every $n \geq n_K$ (where n_K is as in the proof of Lemma 4.10). This shows that the subspace $Z_K \subset \mathscr{S}(E)$ is infinite dimensional. For $i = 1, \dots, m$, define the functionals

$$\Lambda_i : Z_K \to \mathbb{R}, \ \ \varphi \mapsto \int_E \varphi d\mu_i.$$

Since Z_K is infinite dimensional, $\mathscr{N} := \bigcap_{i=1}^m \ker \Lambda_i$ is infinite dimensional too. On the other hand, by construction, $\mathscr{N} \subset \mathscr{I}$. This concludes the proof. ■

4.1.1 Characterization of $(\mathscr{S}(E), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})^*$

The aim of this subsection is to provide a characterizion of $(\mathscr{S}(E), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})^*$, for the cases $\mathscr{S}(E) = B_b(E)$ and $\mathscr{S}(E) = C_b(E)$. Denote by $\mathbf{ba}_{\mathscr{C}}(E)$ the subspace of $\mathbf{ba}(E)$ defined by

$$\mathbf{ba}_{\mathscr{C}}(E) := \{\mu \in \mathbf{ba}(E) : \exists\, K \subset E \text{ compact} : |\mu|(K^c) = 0\}.$$

If E is compact, we clearly have $\mathbf{ba}_{\mathscr{C}}(E) = \mathbf{ba}(E)$. Conversely, if E is not compact, then $\mathbf{ba}_{\mathscr{C}}(E)$ is a non-closed subspace of $\mathbf{ba}(E)$. Indeed, if the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in E does not admit any convergent subsequence, then

$$\mu_n = \sum_{k=1}^n 2^{-k} \delta_{x_k} \in \mathbf{ba}_{\mathscr{C}}(E), \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ and } \lim_{n\to+\infty} \mu_n = \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} 2^{-k} \delta_{x_k} \in \mathbf{ca}(E) \setminus \mathbf{ba}_{\mathscr{C}}(E).$$

Denote by $C_b(E)^\perp$ the annihilator of $C_b(E)$ in $(B_b(E),|\cdot|_\infty)^* \cong (\mathbf{ba}(E),|\cdot|_1)$ (see (4.2),(4.3)), that is

$$C_b(E)^\perp := \left\{\mu \in \mathbf{ba}(E):\ \int_E f\,d\mu = 0,\ \ \forall f \in C_b(E)\right\}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have $C_b(E)^\perp \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbf{ba}(E) \setminus \mathbf{ca}(E)$.

Proposition 4.16. *The following statements hold.*

(i) $(B_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})^* = \left(\mathbf{ba}_{\mathscr{C}}(E) \bigcap C_b(E)^\perp\right) \oplus \mathbf{ca}(E)$. *More explicitly, for each* $\Lambda \in (B_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})^*$ *there exist unique* $\mu \in \mathbf{ba}_{\mathscr{C}}(E) \bigcap C_b(E)^\perp$ *and* $\nu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$ *such that*

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_E f\,d(\mu+\nu) \qquad \forall f \in B_b(E),$$

where the integral is in the Darboux sense.

(ii) $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})^* = \mathbf{ca}(E)$. *More explicitly, for each* $\Lambda \in (C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})^*$ *there exists a unique* $\nu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$ *such that*

$$\Lambda(f) = \int_E f\,d\nu \qquad \forall f \in C_b(E).$$

Proof. *(i)* Let $\Lambda \in (B_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})^*$. Then there exist $L > 0$, a compact set $K \subset E$, a natural number N, and measures $\mu_1,\dots,\mu_N \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$, such that

$$|\Lambda(f)| \le L\left([f]_K + \sum_{n=1}^N \left|\int_E f\,d\mu_n\right|\right) \qquad \forall f \in B_b(E).$$

Define $\Lambda_{K^c}(f) = \Lambda(f\mathbf{1}_{K^c})$, for $f \in B_b(E)$. Then

$$|\Lambda_{K^c}(f)| \le L\sum_{n=1}^N \left|\int_E f\,d(\mu_n \lfloor K^c)\right|, \qquad \forall f \in B_b(E), \tag{4.11}$$

where $\mu_n \lfloor K^c$ denotes the restriction of μ_n to K^c. Hence $\Lambda_{K^c} \in (B_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})^*$. Moreover, by [23, Lemma 3.9, p. 63], (4.11) implies that there exists $\nu \in \mathrm{Span}\{\mu_i \lfloor K^c : i = 1,\dots,N\} \subset \mathbf{ca}(E)$ such that

$$\Lambda_{K^c}(f) = \int_E f\,d\nu \qquad \forall f \in B_b(E).$$

Define $\Lambda_K(f) := \Lambda(f\mathbf{1}_K)$, for $f \in B_b(E)$. Since $\Lambda_K = \Lambda - \Lambda_{K^c}$, $\Lambda_K \in (B_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})^*$. By the identification $(B_b(E),|\cdot|_\infty)^* \cong (\mathbf{ba}(E),|\cdot|_1)$ (see (4.2)–(4.3)), there exists a unique $\mu \in \mathbf{ba}(E)$ such that

$$\Lambda_K(f) = \int_E f\,d\mu \qquad \forall f \in B_b(E),$$

where the integral above is defined in the Darboux sense. We notice that $\mu(A) = 0$ for every Borel set $A \subset K^c$. Hence $\mu \in \mathbf{ba}_{\mathscr{C}}(E)$, and the existence part of the claim is proved.

As regarding uniqueness, let $\mu_1+\nu_1$ and $\mu_2+\nu_2$ be two decompositions as in the statement. Then $\nu_1 - \nu_2 \in \mathbf{ca}(E) \bigcap C_b(E)^\perp$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, $\nu_1 - \nu_2 = 0$, and then $\mu_1 = \mu_2$.

(ii) Let $\Lambda \in (C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})^*$. Since $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ is locally convex, by the Hahn-Banach Theorem we can extend Λ to some $\overline{\Lambda} \in (B_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})^*$. Let $\mu+\nu$ be the decomposition of $\overline{\Lambda}$ provided by *(i)*, with $\mu \in \mathbf{ba}_{\mathscr{C}}(E) \bigcap C_b(E)^\perp$ and $\nu \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$. Then

$$\Lambda(f) = \overline{\Lambda}(f) = \int_E f\,d(\mu+\nu) = \int_E f\,d\nu \qquad \forall f \in C_b(E).$$

Uniqueness is provided by Lemma 4.1. ∎

Remark 4.17. *In general, the dual of $(C_b(E),\tau_\infty)$ cannot be identified with $\mathbf{ca}(E)$ through the integral, that is, the isometric embedding (4.4) is not onto([7]). An example where $(C_b(E),\tau_\infty)^* \neq \mathbf{ca}(E)$ is provided by the case $E=\mathbb{N}$. Then $C_b(\mathbb{N})=\ell^\infty$ and $(C_b(\mathbb{N}),\tau_\infty)^* = (\ell^\infty)^* \supsetneq \ell^1 \cong \mathbf{ca}(\mathbb{N})$ (where the symbol "$\cong$" is consistent with the action of ℓ^1 and of $\mathbf{ca}(\mathbb{N})$ on ℓ^∞). In view of this observation, Proposition 4.16(ii) cannot be seen, in its generality, as a straightforward consequence of the inclusions $\sigma(C_b(E),\mathbf{ca}(E))\subset\tau_{\mathscr{K}}\subset\tau_\infty$.*

4.2 Relationship with weakly continuous semigroups

In this subsection we first recall the notions of $\mathscr{K}$-convergence and of weakly continuous semigroup in the space $UC_b(E)$, introduced and studied first in [2, 3] in the case of E separable Banach space ([8]). So, throughout this subsection E is assumed to be a Banach space. We will show that every weakly continuous semigroup is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup and, up to a renormalization, a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup on $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ (Proposition 4.19).

The notion of $\mathscr{K}$-convergence was introduced in [2, 3] for sequences. We recall it in its natural extension to nets. A net of functions $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}\subset UC_b(E)$ is said $\mathscr{K}$-convergent to $f\in UC_b(E)$ if it is $|\cdot|_\infty$-bounded and if $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ converges to f uniformly on compact sets of E, that is

$$\begin{cases}\sup\limits_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}|f_\iota|_\infty<+\infty\\ \lim\limits_\iota [f_\iota-f]_K=0 \quad \text{for every non-empty compact } K\subset E.\end{cases} \tag{4.12}$$

In such a case, we write $f_\iota \xrightarrow{\mathscr{K}} f$. If E is separable, in view of Proposition 4.13(i), the convergence (4.12) is equivalent to the convergence with respect to the locally convex topology $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$. In this sense, $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ is the natural vector topology to treat weakly continuous semigroups (whose definition is recalled below) within the framework of C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroups.

Definition 4.18. *A* weakly continuous semigroup *on $UC_b(E)$ is a family $T=\{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ of bounded linear operators on $(UC_b(E),|\cdot|_\infty)$ satisfying the following conditions.*

(P1) $T_0=I$ *and* $T_tT_s=T_{t+s}$ *for* $t,s\in\mathbb{R}^+$.

(P2) There exist $M\geq 1$ *and* $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}$ *such that* $|T_tf|_\infty\leq Me^{\alpha t}|f|_\infty$ *for every* $t\in\mathbb{R}^+$, $f\in UC_b(E)$.

(P3) For every $f\in UC_b(E)$ *and every* $\hat t>0$, *the family of functions* $\{T_tf:E\to\mathbb{R}\}_{t\in[0,\hat t]}$ *is equi-uniformly continuous, that is, there exists a modulus of continuity* w *(depending on* $\hat t$*) such that*

$$\sup_{t\in[0,\hat t]}|T_tf(\xi)-T_tf(\xi')|\leq w(|\xi-\xi'|_E),\qquad \forall\xi,\xi'\in E. \tag{4.13}$$

(P4) For every $f\in UC_b(E)$, *we have* $T_tf\xrightarrow{\mathscr{K}} f$ *as* $t\to 0^+$; *in view of (P2) the latter convergence is equivalent to*

$$\lim_{t\to0^+}[T_tf-f]_K=0 \text{ for every non-empty compact } K\subset E. \tag{4.14}$$

(P5) If $f_n\xrightarrow{\mathscr{K}} f$, *then* $T_tf_n\xrightarrow{\mathscr{K}} T_tf$ *uniformly in* $t\in[0,\hat t]$ *for every* $\hat t>0$; *in view of (P2), the latter convergence is equivalent to*

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty}\sup_{t\in[0,\hat t]}[T_tf_n-T_tf]_K=0 \text{ for every non-empty compact } K\subset E,\ \forall\hat t\in\mathbb{R}^+. \tag{4.15}$$

[7] For a characterization of $(C_b(E),\tau_\infty)^*$, see [1, Sec. 14.2].

[8] In order to avoid misunderstanding, we stress that [3] uses the notation $C_b(E)$ to denote the space of *uniformly* continuous bounded functions on E, i.e. our space $UC_b(E)$. Also we notice that the separability of E is not needed here for our discussion.

Proposition 4.19. *Let $T := \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ be a weakly continuous semigroup on $UC_b(E)$. Then T is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ and, for every $\lambda > \alpha$ (where α is as in (P2)), $\{e^{-\lambda t}T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup on $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ satisfying Assumption 3.16.*

Conversely, if T is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ satisfying (P3), then T is a weakly continuous semigroup on $UC_b(E)$.

Proof. Let $f \in UC_b(E)$. By *(P4)* and by Proposition 4.13*(i)*, $T_t f \to f$ *in* $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ *when* $t \to 0^+$. This shows the strong continuity of T in $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$.

Now let $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence converging to 0 in $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ and let $\hat{t} \in \mathbb{R}^+$. By Proposition 4.13*(i)*, it follows that $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{K}} 0$. By (P5) we then have $T_t f_n \xrightarrow{\mathscr{K}} 0$ uniformly in $t \in [0,\hat{t}]$. Using again Proposition 4.13*(i)*, we conclude that T is locally sequentially equicontinuous in $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$.

By (P2) and by Proposition 4.3, we can apply Proposition 3.15*(i)* to T conclude that $\{e^{-\lambda t}T_t\}_{t\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup on $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$.

We finally show that, for $\lambda > \alpha$, $\{e^{-\lambda t}T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ satisfies Assumption 3.16. Let $\alpha < \lambda' < \lambda$ and $f \in UC_b(E)$. By Proposition 4.15, $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ is sequentially complete. By Proposition 3.14, the map

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \to (UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}}),\ t \mapsto e^{-\lambda' t}T_t f,$$

is continuous and bounded. It then follows that the Riemann integral $R(\lambda)f$ exists in $C_b(E)$. We show that $R(\lambda)f \in UC_b(E)$. Since the Dirac measures are contained in $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})^*$, by Proposition 3.18 we have

$$R(\lambda)f(\xi) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t}T_t f(\xi)dt \qquad \forall \xi \in E.$$

On the other hand, by (P2), for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\hat{t} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that

$$\sup_{\xi\in E}\int_{\hat{t}}^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda t}T_t f(\xi)dt < \varepsilon.$$

Hence, to prove that $R(\lambda)f \in UC_b(E)$, it suffices to show that, for every $\hat{t} \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\int_0^{\hat{t}} e^{-\lambda t}T_t f\,dt \in UC_b(E). \tag{4.16}$$

Let us define the set

$$C := \left\{ g \in C_b(E) :\ \sup_{\xi,\xi'\in E} |g(\xi) - g(\xi')| \le w(|\xi - \xi'|_E) \right\},$$

where w is as in (4.13). Clearly C is a subset of $UC_b(E)$, it is convex, it contains the origin, and is closed in $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$. By (4.13), $\{e^{-\lambda' t}T_t f\}_{t\in[0,\hat{t}]} \subset C$. Hence, we conclude by Proposition 3.20 that

$$\int_0^{t_0} e^{-\lambda t}T_t f\,dt \in \frac{1}{\lambda - \lambda'}C \quad \forall \lambda > \lambda',$$

which shows (4.16), concluding the proof of the first part of the proposition.

Now let T be a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous on $(UC_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ satisfying (P3). We only need to show that T verifies (P2), (P4), and (P5). Now, (P2) follows from Proposition 4.3 and Proposition 3.15, whereas (P4) comes once again by Proposition 4.13*(i)*. Finally, (P5) is due to Proposition 4.13*(i)* and to sequential local equicontinuity of T. ∎

4.3 Relationship with π-semigroups

In this subsection we provide a connection between the notion of π-semigroups in $UC_b(E)$ introduced in [22] and bounded C_0-sequentially continuous semigroups (see Definition 3.44) in the space $(UC_b(E), \sigma(UC_b(E), \mathbf{ca}(E)))$ ([9]). We recall that the assumption E Banach space was standing only in the latter subsection, and that in the present subsection we restore the assumption that E is a generic metric space. We start by recalling the definition of π-semigroup in $UC_b(E)$.

Definition 4.20. *A π-semigroup on $UC_b(E)$ is a family $T = \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ of bounded linear operators on $(UC_b(E), |\cdot|_\infty)$ satisfying the following conditions.*

(P1) $T_0 = I$ *and* $T_t T_s = T_{t+s}$ *for* $t, s \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

(P2) There exist $M \geq 1$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ *such that* $|T_t f|_\infty \leq M e^{\alpha t} |f|_\infty$ *for every* $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $f \in UC_b(E)$.

(P3) For each $\xi \in E$ *and* $f \in UC_b(E)$, *the map* $\mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \mapsto T_t f(\xi)$ *is continuous.*

(P4) If a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset UC_b(E)$ *is such that*

$$\sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} |f_n|_\infty < +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{n\to+\infty} f_n = f \qquad \text{pointwise},$$

then, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$,

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} T_t f_n = T_t f \quad \text{pointwise}.$$

Proposition 4.21. *T is a π-semigroup in $UC_b(E)$ if and only if $\{e^{-\alpha t} T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a bounded C_0-sequentially continuous semigroup in $(UC_b(E), \sigma(UC_b(E), \mathbf{ca}(E)))$ (see Definition 3.44).*

Proof. Let us denote $\sigma := \sigma(UC_b(E), \mathbf{ca}(E))$. Let T be a π-semigroup in $UC_b(E)$. By Definition 4.20*(P2)*,*(P4)* and Proposition 4.14*(i)*, we have $\{e^{-\alpha t} T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} \subset \mathscr{L}_0((UC_b(E), \sigma))$. By Definition 4.20*(P2)*,*(P3)* and by Proposition 4.14*(i)*, the map $\mathbb{R}^+ \to (UC_b(E), \sigma)$, $t \mapsto e^{-\alpha t} T_t f$ is continuous for every $f \in UC_b(E)$. Moreover, by Definition 4.20*(P2)* and by Proposition 4.3, it is also bounded. This shows that $\{e^{-\alpha t} T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a bounded C_0-sequentially continuous semigroup in $(UC_b(E), \sigma)$.

Conversely, let $\{e^{-\alpha t} T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ be a bounded C_0-sequentially continuous semigroup in $(UC_b(E), \sigma)$. By Proposition 4.3, for every $f \in UC_b(E)$ the family $\{e^{-\alpha t} T_t f\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is bounded in $(UC_b(E), |\cdot|_\infty)$. By the Banach-Steinhaus theorem we conclude that there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$|e^{-\alpha t} T_t|_{L((UC_b(E), |\cdot|_\infty))} \leq M \qquad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

which provides $T \subset L((UC_b(E), |\cdot|_\infty))$ and *(P2)*. Then, *(P3)* is implied by the fact that the map $\mathbb{R}^+ \to (UC_b(E), \sigma)$, $t \mapsto e^{-\alpha t} T_t f$, is continuous and that Dirac measures are contained in σ. Finally, *(P4)* is due to the assumption $\{e^{-\alpha t} T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+} \subset \mathscr{L}_0((UC_b(E), \sigma))$ and to Proposition 4.14*(i)*. ∎

As observed in Subsection 3.8, if the Laplace transform (3.41) of a bounded C_0-sequentially continuous semigroup in $(UC_b(E), \sigma(UC_b(E), \mathbf{ca}(E)))$ is well-defined, several results that we stated for C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroups still hold. Nevertheless, some other important results, as the generation theorem, or the fact that two semigroups with the same generator are equal, cannot be proved for bounded C_0-sequentially continuous semigroups within the approach of the previous sections. Due to Proposition 4.21, this is reflected in the fact that, as far as we know, such results are not available in the literature for π-semigroups.

[9] Also in this case, in order to avoid misunderstanding, we stress that [22] uses the notation $C_b(E)$ to denote the space of *uniformly* continuous bounded functions on E, i.e. our space $UC_b(E)$. We also notice that in [22] the metric space E is assumed to be separable, but, for our discussion, this is not needed.

4.4 Relationship with locally equicontinuous semigroups with respect to the *mixed* topology

When E is a separable Hilbert space, in [10] the so called *mixed topology* (introduced in [25]) is employed in the space $C_b(E)$ to frame a class of Markov transition semigroups within the theory of C_0-locally equicontinuous semigroups. The same topology, but in the more general case of E separable Banach space, is used in [11] to deal with Markov transition semigroups associated to the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processe in Banach spaces.

In this subsection, we assume that E is a separable Banach space and we briefly precise what is the relation between the mixed topology and $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ in the space $C_b(E)$, and between C_0-locally equicontinuous semigroups with respect to the mixed topology and C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroups with respect to $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$.

The mixed topology on $C_b(E)$, denoted by $\tau_{\mathscr{M}}$, can be defined by seminorms as follows. Let $\mathbf{K} := \{K_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of compact subsets of E, and let $\mathbf{a} := \{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of strictly positive real numbers such that $a_n \to 0$. Define

$$p_{\mathbf{K},\mathbf{a}}(f) = \sup_{n\in\mathbb{N}}\{a_n [f]_{K_n}\} \qquad \forall f \in C_b(E). \tag{4.17}$$

Then $p_{\mathbf{K},\mathbf{a}}$ is a seminorm, and $\tau_{\mathscr{M}}$ is the locally convex topology induced by the family of seminorms $p_{\mathbf{K},\mathbf{a}}$, when $\mathbf{K}$ ranges on the set of countable families of compact subsets of E, and $\mathbf{a}$ ranges on the set of sequences of strictly positive real numbers converging to 0.

It can be proved (see [24, Theorem 2.4]), that $\tau_{\mathscr{M}}$ is the finest locally convex topology on $C_b(E)$ such that a net $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ is bounded in the uniform norm and converges to f in $\tau_{\mathscr{M}}$ if and only if it is $\mathscr{K}$-convergent, that is, if and only if (4.12) is verified.

To establish the relation between $\tau_{\mathscr{M}}$ and $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$, we start with a lemma.

Lemma 4.22. *Let $S \subset E$ be a Borel set and assume that S is a retract of E, that is, there exists a continuous map $r : E \to S$ such that $r(s) = s$ for every $s \in S$. We denote by $\tau^S_{\mathscr{K}}$ the topology $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ when considered in the spaces $C_b(S)$. Then*

$$\Psi : C_b(E) \to C_b(S), \quad f \mapsto f_{|S} \tag{4.18}$$

is continuous and open as a map from $(C_b(E), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ onto $(C_b(S), \tau^S_{\mathscr{K}})$.

Proof. First we show that Ψ is continuous. Let $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}} \subset C_b(E)$ be a net converging to 0 in $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$, let $K \subset S$ be compact, and let $\mu \in \mathbf{ca}(S)$. Since K is also compact in E, we immediately have $[f_{\iota|S}]_K \to 0$. Moreover, since S is Borel, the set function μ^S defined by $\mu^S(A) := \mu(A \cap S)$, $A \in \mathscr{E}$, belongs to $\mathbf{ca}(E)$. Then we also have $\int_S f_{\iota|S} d\mu = \int_E f_\iota d\mu^S \to 0$. So Ψ is continuous.

Let us prove that Ψ is open. Let $K \subset E$ be compact, $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbf{ca}(E)$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Define the neighborhood of 0 in $(C_b(E), \tau^E_{\mathscr{K}})$

$$U := \left\{ f \in C_b(E) : [f]_K < \varepsilon,\ \left| \int_E f\, d\mu_i \right| < \varepsilon,\ i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

and define the the neighborhood of 0 in $(C_b(S), \tau^S_{\mathscr{K}})$

$$V := \left\{ g \in C_b(S) : [g]_{r(K)} < \varepsilon,\ \left| \int_E g d(r_\# \mu_i) \right| < \varepsilon,\ i = 1, \dots, n \right\}$$

where $r_\#\mu_i$ is the pushforward measure of μ_i through r. Then $g \in V$ if and only if $f := g \circ r \in U$. As $g = (g \circ r)_{|S}$ for every $g \in C_b(S)$, we see that $V \subset \Psi(U)$. Hence, we conclude that Ψ is open. ■

Proposition 4.23. *If $\dim E \geq 1$, then $\tau_{\mathscr{K}} \subsetneq \tau_{\mathscr{M}}$ on $C_b(E)$.*

Proof. We already observed that $\tau_{\mathscr{M}}$ is the finest locally convex topology $\tau_{\mathscr{M}}$ such that $\{f_\iota\}_{\iota\in\mathscr{I}}$ is bounded in the uniform norm and converges to f in $\tau_{\mathscr{M}}$ if and only if it is $\mathscr{K}$-convergent. Then, by Proposition 4.13*(i)*, we have $\tau_{\mathscr{K}} \subset \tau_{\mathscr{M}}$.

Now we show that $\tau_{\mathscr{M}} \not\subset \tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ if $\dim(E) \geq 1$. Let S be a one dimensional subspace of E and let

$$\Psi\colon C_b(E) \to C_b(S),\ f \mapsto f_{|S}.$$

By using the seminorms defined in (4.17), one checks that Ψ, defined in (4.18), is continuous from $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{M}})$ onto $(C_b(S),\tau^S_{\mathscr{M}})$, where $\tau^S_{\mathscr{M}}$ denotes the topology $\tau_{\mathscr{M}}$ in the space $C_b(S)$. Clearly S is a retract of E. Then, by Lemma 4.22, to show that $\tau_{\mathscr{M}} \not\subset \tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ on $C_b(E)$, it is sufficient to show that $\tau^S_{\mathscr{M}} \not\subset \tau^S_{\mathscr{K}}$ on $C_b(S)$. Let us identify S with $\mathbb{R}$. Let W be a Wiener process in $\mathbb{R}$ on some probability space $(\Omega,\mathscr{F},\mathbb{P})$. By [10, Theorem 4.1], the transition semigroup $T := \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ defined by

$$T_t\colon C_b(\mathbb{R}) \to C_b(\mathbb{R}),\ f \mapsto \mathbb{E}[f(\cdot + W_t)],$$

is a C_0-locally equicontinuous semigroup in $(C_b(\mathbb{R}),\tau^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathscr{M}})$. But Example 5.5 below shows that T is not locally equicontinuous in $(C_b(\mathbb{R}),\tau^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathscr{K}})$. Then $\tau^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathscr{M}} \not\subset \tau^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathscr{K}}$. Since we already know that $\tau^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathscr{K}} \subset \tau^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathscr{M}}$, we deduce that $\tau^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathscr{M}} \not\subset \tau^{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathscr{K}}$ and conclude. ∎

By Proposition 4.13*(i)*, every sequence convergent in $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ is bounded and convergent uniformly on compact sets, and then it is convergent in $\tau_{\mathscr{M}}$. Since we also know $\tau_{\mathscr{K}} \subset \tau_{\mathscr{M}}$, we immediately obtain the following

Proposition 4.24. *A semigroup T is C_0-sequentially (locally) equicontinuous in $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{M}})$ if and only if it is C_0-sequentially (locally) equicontinuous in $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$.*

5 Application to transition semigroups

In this section we apply the results of Section 4 to transition semigroups in spaces of (not necessarily bounded) continuous functions.

5.1 Transition semigroups in $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$

Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} := \{\mu_t(\xi,\cdot)\}_{\substack{t\in\mathbb{R}^+\\ \xi\in E}}$ be a subset of $\mathbf{ca}^+(E)$ and consider the following assumptions.

Assumption 5.1. *The family $\boldsymbol{\mu} := \{\mu_t(\xi,\cdot)\}_{\substack{t\in\mathbb{R}^+\\ \xi\in E}} \subset \mathbf{ca}^+(E)$ has the following properties.*

(i) The family $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is bounded in $\mathbf{ca}^+(E)$ and $p_0(\xi,\Gamma) = \mathbf{1}_\Gamma(\xi)$ for every $\xi \in E$ and every $\Gamma \in \mathscr{E}$.

(ii) For every $f \in C_b(E)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, the map

$$E \to \mathbb{R},\ \xi \mapsto \int_E f(\xi')\mu_t(\xi,d\xi') \tag{5.1}$$

is continuous.

(iii) For every $f \in C_b(E)$, every $t,s \in \mathbb{R}^+$, and every $\xi \in E$,

$$\int_E f(\xi')\mu_{t+s}(\xi,d\xi') = \int_E \left(\int_E f(\xi'')\mu_t(\xi',d\xi'')\right)\mu_s(\xi,d\xi').$$

(iv) *For every $\hat{t} > 0$ and every compact $K \subset E$, the family $\{\mu_t(\xi,\cdot)\colon t \in [0,\hat{t}],\ \xi \in K\}$ is tight, that is, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K_0 \subset E$ such that*

$$\mu_t(\xi, K_0) > \mu_t(\xi, E) - \varepsilon \qquad \forall t \in [0,\hat{t}],\ \forall \xi \in K.$$

(v) *For every $r > 0$ and every non-empty compact $K \subset E$,*

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \sup_{\xi\in K} |\mu_t(\xi, B(\xi,r)) - 1| = 0, \tag{5.2}$$

where $B(\xi,r)$ denotes the open ball $B(\xi,r) := \{\xi' \in E\colon d(\xi,\xi') < r\}$.

We observe that in Assumption 5.1 it is not required that $p_t(\xi,E) = 1$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$, $\xi \in E$, that is the family $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is not necessarily a probability kernel in $(E,\mathscr{E})$. Assumptions 5.1*(ii)*,*(iii)* can be rephrased by saying that

$$T_t\colon C_b(E) \to C_b(E),\ f \mapsto \int_E f(\xi)\mu_t(\cdot, d\xi)$$

is well defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $T := \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a transition semigroup in $C_b(E)$. If $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is a probability kernel, then T is a Markov transition semigroup.

Proposition 5.2. *Let Assumption 5.1 holds and let $T := \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ be defined as in (5.1). Then T is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$. Moreover, for every $\alpha > 0$, the normalized semigroup $\{e^{-\alpha t}T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup on $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ satisfying Assumption 3.16.*

Proof. Assumptions 5.1*(i)*,*(ii)*,*(iii)* imply that T maps $C_b(E)$ into itself and that it is a semigroup. We show that the C_0-property holds, that is $\lim_{t\to 0^+} T_t f = f$ in $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ for every $f \in C_b(E)$. Let $M := \sup_{\substack{t\in\mathbb{R}^+\\ \xi\in E}} |\mu_t(\xi,E)|$. By Assumption 5.1*(i)*, $M < +\infty$ and

$$|T_t f|_\infty \le M|f|_\infty \qquad \forall f \in C_b(E),\ \ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \tag{5.3}$$

Let $f \in C_b(E)$. By (5.3) and by Proposition 4.13*(i)*, in order to show that $\lim_{t\to 0^+} T_t f = f$ in $(C_b(E),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$, it is sufficient to show that $\lim_{t\to 0^+}[T_t f - f]_K = 0$, for every $K \subset E$ non-empty compact. Let $K \subset E$ be such a set. We claim that

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \sup_{\xi\in K} |\mu_t(\xi,E) - 1| = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Indeed, let ε and K_0 as in Assumption 5.1*(iv)*, when $\hat{t} = 1$, and let $r := \sup_{(\xi,\xi')\in K\times K_0} d(\xi,\xi') + 1$. Then $K_0 \subset B(\xi,r)$ for every $\xi \in K$. For $t \in [0,1]$ and $\xi \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\mu_t(\xi,E) - 1| &\le |\mu_t(\xi, E\setminus B(\xi,r)| + |\mu_t(\xi,B(\xi,r)) - 1| \\ &\le |\mu_t(\xi, E\setminus K_0)| + |\mu_t(\xi,B(\xi,r)) - 1| \\ &\le \varepsilon + |\mu_t(\xi,B(\xi,r)) - 1|. \end{aligned}$$

By taking the supremum over $x \in K$, by passing to the limit as $t \to 0^+$, by using (5.2), and by arbitrariness of ε, we obtain (5.4). In particular, (5.4) implies

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \sup_{\xi\in K} |f(\xi) - \mu_t(\xi,E)f(\xi)| = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

and then $T_t f \to f$ in $\tau_{\mathscr{K}}$ as $t \to 0^+$ if and only if

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \sup_{\xi\in K} |T_t f(\xi) - \mu_t(\xi,E)f(\xi)| = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

Again, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and K_0 be as in Assumption 5.1*(iv)*, when $\hat{t} = 1$. Let w be a modulus of continuity for $f_{|K_0}$. For $\delta > 0$, $t \in [0,1]$, and $\xi \in K$, we write

$$\begin{aligned}|T_t f(\xi) - \mu_t(\xi, E) f(\xi)| &\leq \int_E |f(\xi') - f(\xi)| \mu_t(\xi, d\xi') = \int_{K_0 \cap B(\xi,\delta)} |f(\xi') - f(\xi)| \mu_t(\xi, d\xi') \\ &\quad + \int_{K_0 \cap B(\xi,\delta)^c} |f(\xi') - f(\xi)| \mu_t(\xi, d\xi') + \int_{K_0^c} |f(\xi') - f(\xi)| \mu_t(\xi, d\xi') \\ &\leq w(\delta) + 2|f|_\infty \left(\mu_t(\xi, B(\xi,\delta)^c) + \varepsilon\right).\end{aligned}$$

We then obtain

$$\sup_{\xi \in K} |T_t f(\xi) - \mu_t(\xi, E) f(\xi)| \leq \omega(\delta) + 2|f|_\infty \left(\sup_{\xi \in K} \mu_t(\xi, B(\xi,\delta)^c) + \varepsilon \right) \qquad \forall \delta > 0,\ \forall t \in [0,1],\ \forall \xi \in K.$$

By passing to the limit as $t \to 0^+$, by (5.2), by (5.4), and by arbitrariness of δ and ε, we obtain (5.6).

We now show that $\{T_t\}_{t \in [0,\hat{t}]}$ is sequentially equicontinuous for every $\hat{t} > 0$. Let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence converging to 0 in $(C_b(E), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ and let $\hat{t} > 0$. By Proposition 4.13*(i)*, $\{|f_n|_\infty\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded by some $b > 0$. Then, by (5.3), $\{T_t f_n\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. To show that $T_t f_n \to 0$ in $(C_b(E), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})$, uniformly for $t \in [0, \hat{t}]$, it is then sufficient to show that

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \sup_{t \in [0,\hat{t}]} [T_t f_n]_K = 0 \qquad \forall K \subset E \text{ non-empty compact.}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and K_0 be as in Assumption 5.1*(iv)*, when $\hat{t} = 1$. Then, for $t \in [0, \hat{t}]$, $\xi \in K$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$|T_t f_n(\xi)| \leq \int_{K_0} |f_n(\xi')| \mu_t(\xi, d\xi') + \int_{K_0^c} |f_n(\xi')| \mu_t(\xi, d\xi') \leq M [f_n]_{K_0} + b\varepsilon.$$

Since $[f_n]_{K_0} \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$, by arbitrariness of ε we conclude $\sup_{t \in [0,\hat{t}]} [T_t f_n]_K \to 0$ as $n \to +\infty$. This concludes the proof that T is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on $(C_b(E), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})$.

Next, by Proposition 4.3 and by (5.3), we can apply Proposition 3.15 and obtain that, for every $\alpha > 0$, $\{e^{-\alpha t} T_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$ is C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on $(C_b(E), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})$. Finally, by Remark 3.17 and Proposition 4.15*(ii)*, we conclude that Assumption 3.16 holds true for $\{e^{-\alpha t} T_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^+}$. ■

5.2 Extension to weighted spaces of continuous functions

In this subsection, we briefly discuss how to deal with transition semigroups in weighted spaces of continuous functions. Let $\gamma \in C(E)$ such that $\gamma > 0$. We introduce the following γ-weighted space of continuous functions

$$C_\gamma(E) := \{ f \in C(E) : f\gamma \in C_b(E) \}.$$

A typical case is when E is an unbounded subset of a Banach space and $\gamma(x) = (1 + |x|_E^p)^{-1}$, for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $C_\gamma(E)$ is the space of continuous functions on E having at most polynomial growth of order p. By the very definition of $C_\gamma(E)$, the multiplication by γ

$$\varphi_\gamma : C_\gamma(E) \to C_b(E),\ f \mapsto f\gamma,$$

defines an algebraic isomorphism. Hence, by endowing $C_\gamma(E)$ with the topology $\tau^\gamma_{\mathscr{K}} := \gamma^{-1}(\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$, this space becomes a locally convex Hausdorff topological vector space. A family of seminorms inducing $\tau^\gamma_{\mathscr{K}}$ is given by

$$p^\gamma_{K,\mu} := [f\gamma]_K + \left|\int_E f\gamma d\mu\right| \qquad \forall f \in C_\gamma(E),$$

when K ranges on the set of non-empty compact subsets of E and μ ranges on $\mathbf{ca}(E)$. Clearly, $\left(C_\gamma(E), \tau^\gamma_{\mathscr{K}}\right)$ and $(C_b(E), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ enjoy the same topological properties and γ is an isomorphism of topological vector spaces. This basic observation will be used now to frame C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroups on $(C_\gamma(E), \tau^\gamma_{\mathscr{K}})$ induced by transition functions.

Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} := \{\mu_t(\xi,\cdot)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+,\xi\in E} \subset \mathbf{ca}^+(E)$ and let $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Define the family $\boldsymbol{\mu}^\gamma := \{\mu^\gamma_t(\xi,\cdot)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+,\xi\in E}$ by

$$\mu^\gamma_t(\xi,\Gamma) := \gamma(\xi)\int_\Gamma \gamma^{-1}(\xi')\mu_t(\xi,d\xi') \qquad \forall \Gamma \in \mathscr{E},\ \forall \xi \in E, \tag{5.7}$$

and

$$T_t f(\xi) := \int_E f(\xi')\mu_t(\xi,d\xi') \qquad \forall \xi \in E,\ \forall f \in C_\gamma(E). \tag{5.8}$$

Given $f \in C_\gamma(E)$, $\xi \in E$, the latter is well defined and finite if and only if and only if

$$\int_E \varphi_\gamma(f)(\xi')\mu^\gamma_t(\xi,d\xi') = \gamma(\xi)\int_E f(\xi')\mu_t(\xi,d\xi')$$

is well defined and finite. Then, $T_t f(\xi)$ is well defined and finite if and only if, setting $g := f\gamma$,

$$T^\gamma_t g(\xi) := \int_E g(\xi')\mu^\gamma_t(\xi,d\xi')$$

is well defined and finite. At the end, we get that $T_t f(\xi)$ is well defined and finite for every $\xi \in E$ and every $f \in C_\gamma(E)$ if and only if $T^\gamma_t g(f)$ is well defined and finite for every $\xi \in E$ and every $g \in C_b(E)$. In such a case

$$(\varphi^{-1}_\gamma \circ T^\gamma_t \circ \varphi_\gamma) f = T_t f \qquad \forall f \in C_\gamma(E), \tag{5.9}$$

that is, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_\gamma(E) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_\gamma} & C_b(E) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle T_t} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle T^\gamma_t} \\ C_\gamma(E) & \xleftarrow[\varphi^{-1}_\gamma]{} & C_b(E) \end{array}$$

is commutative. Due to this fact, Proposition 5.2 can be immediately stated in the following equivalent form.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\boldsymbol{\mu} := \{\mu_t(\xi,\cdot)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+,\xi\in E} \subset \mathbf{ca}^+(E)$ and let $\boldsymbol{\mu}^\gamma := \{\mu^\gamma_t(\xi,\cdot)\}_{\substack{t\in\mathbb{R}^+\\ \xi\in E}}$ be defined starting from $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ through (5.7). Assume that $\boldsymbol{\mu}^\gamma$ satisfies Assumption 5.1 (when $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ is replaced by $\boldsymbol{\mu}^\gamma$). Then $T := \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ defined in (5.8) is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup on $(C_\gamma(E), \tau^\gamma_{\mathscr{K}})$. Moreover, for every $\alpha > 0$, the normalized semigroup $\{e^{-\alpha t}T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup on $(C_\gamma(E), \tau^\gamma_{\mathscr{K}})$ satisfying Assumption 3.16.*

5.3 Markov transition semigroups associated to stochastic differential equations

Propositions 5.2 and 5.3 have a straightforward application to transition functions associated to mild solutions of stochastic differential equations in Hilbert spaces. Let $(U,|\cdot|_U)$, $(H,|\cdot|_H)$ be separable Hilbert spaces, let $(\Omega,\mathscr{F},\{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+},\mathbb{P})$ be a complete filtered probability space, let Q be a positive self-adjoint operator, and let W^Q be a U-valued Q-Wiener process defined on $(\Omega,\mathscr{F},\{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+},\mathbb{P})$ (see [6, Ch. 4]). Denote by $L_2(U_0,H)$ the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators from $U_0 := Q^{1/2}(U)$ ([10]) into H, let A be the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $\{S_A(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ in $(H,|\cdot|_H)$, and let $F\colon H\to H$, $B\colon H\to L_2(U_0,H)$. Then, under suitable assumptions on the coefficients F and B (e.g., [6, p. 187, Hypotehsis 7.1]), for every $\xi\in H$, the SDE in the space H

$$\begin{cases} dX(t) = AX(t) + F(X(t))dt + B(X(t))dW^Q(t) & t\in(0,T] \\ X(0) = \xi, \end{cases} \tag{5.10}$$

admits a unique (up to undistinguishability) mild solution $X(\cdot,\xi)$ with continuous trajectories (see [6, p. 188, Theorem 7.2]), that is, there exists a unique H-valued process $X(\cdot,\xi)$ with continuous trajectories satisfying the integral equation

$$X(t,\xi) = S_A(t)\xi + \int_0^t S_A(t-s)F(X(s,\xi))ds + \int_0^t S_A(t-s)B(X(s,\xi))dW^Q(s) \qquad \forall t\in\mathbb{R}^+. \tag{5.11}$$

By standard estimates (see, e.g., [6, p. 188, Theorem 7.2]([11])), for every $p\geq 2$ we have, for some $K_p>0$ and $\hat{\alpha}_p\in\mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[|X(t,\xi)|_H^p\right] \leq K_p e^{\hat{\alpha}_p t}(1+|\xi|_H^p) \qquad \forall (t,\xi)\in\mathbb{R}^+\times H. \tag{5.12}$$

Moreover, by [6, p. 235, Theorem 9.1],

$$(t,\xi) \mapsto X(t,\xi) \text{ is stochastically continuous.} \tag{5.13}$$

Proposition 5.4. *Let [6, Hypothesis 7.1] hold and let $X(\cdot,\xi)$ be the mild solution to (5.10).*

(i) Define

$$T_t f(\xi) := \mathbb{E}[f(X(t,\xi))] \qquad \forall f\in C_b(H)\ \forall \xi\in H,\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}^+. \tag{5.14}$$

Then $T := \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup in $(C_b(H),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$. Moreover, $\{e^{-\alpha t}T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup in $(C_b(H),\tau_{\mathscr{K}})$ for every $\alpha>0$.

(ii) Let $p\geq 2$ and set $\gamma(\xi) := (1+|\xi|_H^p)^{-1}$ for $\xi\in H$. Define

$$T_t f(\xi) := \mathbb{E}[f(X(t,\xi))] \qquad \forall f\in C_\gamma(H),\ \forall \xi\in H,\ \forall t\in\mathbb{R}^+. \tag{5.15}$$

Then $T := \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup in $(C_\gamma(H),\tau^\gamma_{\mathscr{K}})$. Moreover, $\{e^{-\alpha t}T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ is a C_0-sequentially equicontinuous semigroup in $(C_\gamma(H),\tau^\gamma_{\mathscr{K}})$ for every $\alpha>\hat{\alpha}_p$, where $\hat{\alpha}_p$ is the constant appearing in (5.12).

Proof. *(i)* Define

$$\mu_t(\xi,\Gamma) := \mathbb{P}(X(t,\xi)\in\Gamma) \qquad \forall t\in\mathbb{R}^+,\ \forall \xi\in H,\ \forall \Gamma\in\mathscr{B}(H). \tag{5.16}$$

We show that we can apply Proposition 5.2 with the family $\boldsymbol{\mu} := \{\mu_t(\xi,\cdot)\}_{\substack{t\in\mathbb{R}^+\\ \xi\in H}}$ given by (5.16). The condition of Assumption 5.1*(i)* is clearly verified. The condition of Assumption 5.1*(ii)* is

[10] The scalar product on U_0 is defined by $\langle u,v\rangle_{U_0} := \langle Q^{-1/2}u, Q^{-1/2}v\rangle_H$.

[11] The constant in that estimate can be taken exponential in time, because the SDE is autonomous.

consequence of (5.13). The condition of Assumption 5.1*(iii)* is verified by [6, p. 249, Corollaries 9.15 and 9.16].

Now we verify the condition of Assumption 5.1*(iv)*. Let $\hat{t} > 0$ and let $K \subset E$ compact. By (5.13) the map

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \times H \to (\mathbf{ca}(H), \sigma(\mathbf{ca}(H), C_b(H))), \quad (t,\xi) \mapsto \mu(\xi,\cdot)$$

is continuous. Then the family of probability measures $\{\mu_t(\xi,\cdot)\}_{(t,\xi)\in[0,\hat{t}]\times H}$ is $\sigma(\mathbf{ca}(H), C_b(H))$-compact. Hence, by [1, p. 519, Theorem 15.22], it is tight.

We finally verify the condition of Assumption 5.1*(v)*. Let $r > 0$, let $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$ be a sequence converging to 0, and let $\{\xi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be sequence converging to ξ in H. By (5.13) and recalling that $X(0,\xi) = \xi$, we get

$$\lim_{n\to+\infty} \mu_{t_n}(\xi_n, B(\xi_n, r)) = \lim_{n\to+\infty} \mathbb{P}(|\xi_n - X(t_n,\xi_n)|_H < r) = 0.$$

By arbitrariness of the sequences $\{t_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\{\xi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ and of ξ, this implies the condition of Assumption 5.1*(v)*.

(ii) First, we notice that $T_t f$ in (5.15) is well defined due to (5.12). Consider now the family $\boldsymbol{\mu} := \{\mu_t(\xi,\cdot)\}_{\substack{t\in\mathbb{R}^+\\ \xi\in H}}$ defined in (5.16) and the renormalized family $\boldsymbol{\nu} := \{\nu_t(\xi,\cdot)\}_{\substack{t\in\mathbb{R}^+\\ \xi\in H}}$ defined by $\nu_t(\xi,\cdot) := e^{-\hat{\alpha}_p t}\mu_t$. Then, consider the weighted family $\boldsymbol{\nu}^\gamma := \{\nu_t^\gamma(\xi,\cdot)\}_{\substack{t\in\mathbb{R}^+\\ \xi\in H}}$

$$\nu_t^\gamma(\xi,\Gamma) := \frac{1}{1+|\xi|^p}\int_\Gamma (1+|\xi'|^p)\nu_t(\xi,d\xi') \qquad \forall \Gamma \in \mathscr{B}(H),\ \forall \xi \in H.$$

We have

$$T_t f(\xi) = e^{\hat{\alpha}_p t}\int_H f(\xi')\nu_t(\xi,d\xi') \qquad \forall f \in C_\gamma(H),\ \forall \xi \in H,\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Hence, by Proposition 5.3, the proof reduces to show that Assumption 5.1 is verified by $\boldsymbol{\nu}^\gamma$. The latter follows straightly from its definition by taking into account the properties already proved for $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ in part *(i)* of the proof and (5.12). ■

Example 5.5. Let H be a non-trivial separable Hilbert space with inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$. Let $Q \in L(H)$ be a positive self-adjoint trace-class operator and let W^Q be a Q-Wiener process in H on some filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \{\mathscr{F}_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}, \mathbb{P})$ (see [6, Ch. 4]). Let $T = \{T_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{R}^+}$ be defined by

$$T_t f(\xi) := \mathbb{E}[f(\xi + W_t^Q)] = \int_H f(\xi')\mu_t(\xi,d\xi') \qquad \forall f \in C_b(H),\ \forall \xi \in H,\ \forall t \in \mathbb{R}^+,$$

where $\mu_t(\xi,\cdot)$ denotes the law of $\xi + W_t^Q$. Then, by Proposition 5.4, T is a C_0-sequentially locally equicontinuous semigroup in $(C_b(H), \tau_{\mathscr{K}})$. We claim that T is not locally equicontinuous. Indeed, if T was locally equicontinuous, for any fixed $\hat{t} > 0$, there should exist $L > 0$, a compact set $K \subset H$, and $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \mathbf{ca}(H)$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[0,\hat{t}]} |T_t f(0)| \le L\left([f]_K + \sum_{i=1}^n \left|\int_H f\, d\eta_i\right|\right) \qquad \forall f \in C_b(H). \tag{5.17}$$

Let $v \in H \setminus \{0\}$ and let $a := \max_{h\in K} |\langle v, h\rangle|$. Then, denoting by λ_t the pushforward measure of $\mu_t(0,\cdot)$ through the application $\langle v,\cdot\rangle$ (that is the law of the real-valued random variable $\langle v, W_t^Q\rangle$), and by ν_i, $i = 1,\dots,n$, the pushforward measure of η_i through the same application, inequality (5.17) provides, in particular,

$$\sup_{t\in[0,\hat{t}]} \left|\int_a^{+\infty} g\, d\lambda_t\right| \le L\sum_{i=1}^n \left|\int_a^{+\infty} g\, d\nu_i\right|, \qquad \forall g \in C_{0,b}([a,+\infty)), \tag{5.18}$$

where $C_{0,b}([a,+\infty))$ is the space of bounded continuous functions f on $[a,+\infty)$ such that $f(a)=0$. Then, by [23, p. 63, Lemma 3.9], every λ_t restricted to $(a,+\infty)$ must be a linear combination of the measures $\nu_1\dots,\nu_n$ restricted to $(a,+\infty)$. In particular, choosing any sequence $0<t_1<\ldots<t_n<t_{n+1}\le\hat{t}$, the family $\{\lambda_{t_i}|(a,+\infty)\}_{i=1,\dots,n+1}$ is linearly dependent. This is not possible, as they are restrictions of nondegenerate Gaussian laws having all different variances.

Remark 5.6. *In this subsection we have considered a Hilbert space setting, as the theory of SDEs in Hilbert spaces is very well developed and the properties of their solutions allow to state our results for a large class of SDEs. Nevertheless, the same kind of results hold for suitable classes of SDEs in Banach spaces (see e.g. [11]).*

References

[1] C. D. Aliprantis and K. C. Border. *Infinite Dimensional Analysis*. Springer, 3rd edition, 2006.

[2] S. Cerrai. A Hille-Yosida theorem for weakly continuous semigroups. *Semigroup Forum*, 49(3):349–367, 1994.

[3] S. Cerrai. *Second order PDE's in finite and infinite dimension: a probabilistic approach*. Springer Verlag, 2001.

[4] Y. H. Choe. C_0-semigroups on a locally convex space. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 106:293–320, 1985.

[5] G. Da Prato and A. Lunardi. On the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator in spaces of continuous functions. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 131(1):94–114, 1995.

[6] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, volume 44 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2nd edition, 2014.

[7] B. Dembart. On the theory of semigroups of operators on locally convex spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 16:123–160, 1974.

[8] J. Diestel. *Sequencies and Series in Banach Spaces*. Springer, 1984.

[9] K. J. Engel and R. Nagel. *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*, volume 194. Springer, 1999.

[10] B. Goldys and M. Kocan. Diffusion semigroups in spaces of continuous functions with mixed topology. *J. Differential Equations*, 173(1):17–39, 2001.

[11] B. Goldys and J. M. A. M. Van Neerven. Transition semigroups of Banach space-valued Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae*, 76:283–330, 2003.

[12] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips. *Functional Analysis and Semigroups*, volume 31 of *Colloquim Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 1957.

[13] B. Jefferies. Weakly integrable semigroups on locally convex spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 66(3):347–364, 1986.

[14] B. Jefferies. The generation of weakly integrable semigroups. *Journal of Functional analysis*, 73(1):195–215, 1987.

[15] T. Komura. Semigroups of operators in locally convex spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 2(3):258–296, 1968.

[16] F. Kühnemund. *Bi-Continuous Semigroups on Spaces with Two Topologies: Theory and Applications*. PhD thesis, Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen, 2001.

[17] F. Kühnemund. A Hille-Yosida theorem for bi-continuous semigroups. *Semigroup Forum*, 67(2):205–225, 2003.

[18] A. Lunardi. *Analytic semigroups and optimal regularity in parabolic problems*. Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 16. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1995.

[19] M. S. Osborne. *Locally convex spaces*. Springer, 2014.

[20] K. R. Parthasarathy. *Probability measures on metric spaces*. Probability and Mathematical Statistics, No. 3. Academic Press Inc., New York, 1967.

[21] A. Pazy. *Semigroups of linear operators and applications to partial differential equations*, volume 44 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.

[22] E. Priola. On a class of Markov type semigroups in spaces of uniformly continuous and bounded functions. *Studia Math.*, 136(3):271–295, 1999.

[23] W. Rudin. *Functional Analysis*. McGraw-Hill, 2nd edition, 1991.

[24] F. D. Sentilles. Bounded continuous functions on a completely regular space. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 168:311–336, 1972.

[25] A. Wiweger. Linear spaces with mixed topology. *Studia Math.*, 20:47–68, 1961.

[26] K. Yosida. *Functional analysis*, volume 123 of *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, sixth edition, 1980.